# CEE 5614: Analysis of Air Transportation Systems 

## Spring 2023

## Quiz 1 : Open Notes

Solution Set
Instructor: Trani

Instructions

Write your solutions in the spaces provided. Add any additional pages with calculations as needed. Make sure each additional page has your name.

## Honor Code Pledge

The information provided in this exam is my own work. I have not received information from another person while doing this exam.

## Problem 1 (50 Points)

Task 1. Read the Aviation Week and Space Technology article (https://aviationweek.com/air-transport/ aircraft-propulsion/nasa-picks-boeings-transonic-truss-based-wing-sustainable-x-plane) to understand the context of the problem.

Use the new generation Transonic Truss-Braced Wing (TTBA) aircraft provided in class (http:// 128.173.204.63/courses/cee5614/cee5614_pub/SUGAR_class.m ) to answer the following questions.

Model a flight using the future TTBA aircraft traveling from Punta Cana, Dominican Republic (PUJ) to New York (JFK). The aircraft has the following parameters: OEW of $40,000 \mathrm{~kg}$., carries $16,000 \mathrm{~kg}$. of fuel, and $14,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ of payload (passengers and belly cargo).

Task 2. Use the default climb speed profile provided in the aircraft data file to calculate the fuel burn in climb and the time to climb to an initial altitude that provides at least $150 \mathrm{~m} /$ minute climb rate. Use ISA atmospheric conditions in your calculations.
a) Based on the data provided, estimate the fuel used to reach the Top of Climb Point (TOC) if the pilot wants to cruise at an altitude that provides a minimum climb rate of $152 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{minute}$. Airline dispatch suggest a cruise speed of Mach 0.75 . For the selected altitude, estimate the mass of the aircraft at the Top of Climb (TOC) point. State the distance and travel time to reach the TOC point.

The parameters for the climb are estimated using the Unrestricted Climb Matlab code provided in class. The following initial conditions are used:

Takeoff mass $=70,000$ kilograms
Temperature profile = ISA
Initial airport elevation = 0 (sea level)
After running the Unrestricted Climb Analysis code, we obtain the following:
Altitude with $150 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$ climb capability is 37,400 feet (see Figure 1)
Altitude with $150 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$ climb capability is 11,402 meters
Final Cruise Altitude is 37,000 feet
Final Cruise Altitude is $11,280.5$ meters ( 37,000 feet)
Climb Fuel is 1209 kilograms (2,660 lbs.)
Distance to Reach TOC is 106 nm (196 kilometers)
I selected 37,000 feet as the initial cruise altitude because while the aircraft can reach 37,400 feet with $150 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{minute}$ climb rate, Air Traffic Control assigns whole flight levels. Figure 1 shows the climb rate as a function of altitude. Note that it takes around 106 nautical miles to reach FL 370.

Figure 1. Rate of Climb Profile for TTBA Aircraft (Takeoff Mass 70,000 kgs), ISA Conditions.

b) Estimate the fuel used by the aircraft in cruise (TOC to TOD only) assuming the standard 6\% detour factor to account for ATC restrictions and weather deviations. Perform the analysis using two cruise scenarios:

1. One climb at mid point between TOC and TOD
2. Schedule multiple climbs throughout the flight so that a climb is requested and granted when the aircraft mass allows the aircraft to climb at a minimum of $152 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$ at the start of each climb point (see Figure 1). Since the flight is mostly over the Atlantic ocean, assume climbs can be granted at 1,000 foot intervals (with Reduced Vertical Separation Minima).

Estimate the route length flown from Punta Cana to New York. Use the Great Circle Mapper application and add $6 \%$ to the route to account for ATC and weather diversions. The GCD distance is $1,350 \mathrm{~nm}$ or $1,432 \mathrm{~nm}$ adjusted distance.

We start by estimating the conditions for the descent profile. Use the unrestricted descent Matlab code provided to estimate the fuel and distance used in the descent profile. The TOD altitude is not precisely know. However, we can use 38,000 feet as a reasonable guess since the aircraft is at 37,000 feet at the TOC. The mass at the TOD point needs to be estimated as well. The route length is $1,432 \mathrm{~nm}$ or about half of the range of the aircraft (TTBA is expected to have similar range as the Boeing 737-800).

Takeoff weight $=70,000 \mathrm{kgs}$.
Fuel mass $=16,000 \mathrm{kgs}$.
Payload $=14,000 \mathrm{kgs}$.
If we assume that $2 / 3$ of the fuel is used up to the TOD, the mass at the TOD is 59,440 kilograms. The descent fuel and distance flown in the descent profile are less sensitive to the mass at TOD. Figure 2 shows the unrestricted descent profiles. I used $1 / 10$ of the maximum thrust as residual thrust in the descent profile.
tResidual $=1 / 10 ; \quad \%$ residual thrust $(\operatorname{dim})$ during the descent profile
Figure 2. Descent Profile for TTBA Aircraft (Mass at TOD is 60,000 kgs), ISA Conditions.


Descent distance is 176 nautical miles. The fuel used is 329 kilograms of fuel. The estimated distance between TOC and TOD points is 1,150 nautical miles (rounded off). Such distance is used to look at two scenarios.

Scenario 1. One climb at mid point between TOC and TOD.
Mid point is 575 nm from TOC. Use the numerical simulation technique explained in class to estimate the fuel burn from TOC to the mid point. The parameters of the simulation are:

Mass at TOD $=68,791$ kilograms
Cruise altitude 37,000 feet
Distance flown $=575 \mathrm{~nm}$
Proposed cruise speed $=$ Mach 0.75
Running the Numerical Cruise Fuel Calculation Matlab code with 100 steps we obtain:
Computational Steps 100
Cruise Mach Number (dim) 0.75
Cruise Distance (nm) 575
Cruise Fuel (kg) 2368.0139
Cruise Time (minutes) 80.1913
Cruise Time (hrs) 1.3365
Initial Mass (kg) 68791
Final Mass at Mid Point (kg) 66423
Perform a 1,000 foot climb at mid point ( 750 nm from TOC). First we need to estimate the climb rate capability at the mid point (mass $=66,423$ kilograms).

Cruise Mach Number (dim) : 0.750
True airspeed (knots) : 430.54
Altitude (m) : 11280.4878
Aircraft Mass (kg) : 66423
Lift Coefficient (dim): 0.37212
Drag Coefficient (dim) : 0.021146
Drag (N): 37027.5294
Thrust (N) : 35915.9579
Rate of climb ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) : -0.37784
Rate of climb (ft/min) : -74.3593
Note that the aircraft cannot climb while flying at Mach 0.75 at the mid point. This indicates that the initial cruise Mach number of 0.75 is not achievable at FL370 at ISA conditions. The climb profile specified in the aircraft file flies the aircraft at IAS 230 knots up to FL 370.230 knots IAS is equivalent to Mach 0.63. Figure 3 shows the drag and thrust performance diagram at FL 370 and mass 68,791 kilograms. It is clear that the cruise speed should be no more than Mach 0.72.

Figure 3. TTBA Drag and Thrust at 37,000 feet and 68,791 kilograms (mass at the TOC). The Rectangular Region Is the Feasible Cruise Mach Number for this Example.


Since Mach 0.75 is not a feasible speed at 37,000 feet, we repeat the fuel burn calculations using a cruise speed of Mach 0.72 instead (see Figure 3). From TOC to the mid point, flying at Mach 0.72 and 37,000 feet the following parameters are calculated using simulation analysis.

Computational Steps 100
Cruise Mach Number (dim) 0.72
Cruise Distance (nm) 575
Cruise Fuel (kg) 2266.8447
Cruise Time (minutes) 83.5326
Cruise Time (hrs) 1.3922
Initial Mass (kg) 68791
Final Mass (kg) 66524.2
Now verify the rate of climb at mid point to climb to FL 380 (next flight level).
Cruise Mach Number (dim) : 0.72004
True airspeed (knots) : 413.0382
Altitude (m) : 11280.4878

Altitude (feet) : 37000
Aircraft Mass (kg) : 66524
Lift Coefficient (dim) : 0.40496
Drag Coefficient (dim) : 0.02107
Drag (N) : 33955.6734
Thrust (N) : 36596.0945
Rate of climb (m/s): 0.85972
Rate of climb (ft/min) : 169.1919
Rate of climb (m/min) : 51.5829
The aircraft is capable of climbing to FL 380 at the mid point but only at 51.6 meters/minute and not at the desired 152 meters/minute. Check the climb rate at FL 380. Use the mass of 66524 kilograms .

Cruise Mach Number (dim) : 0.72
True airspeed (knots) : 413.00
Altitude (m) : 11585.3659
Altitude (feet) : 38000
Aircraft Mass (kg) : 66524
Lift Coefficient (dim) : 0.42501
Drag Coefficient (dim) : 0.021394
Drag (N) : 32849.707
Thrust (N) : 34708.6303
Rate of climb (m/s): 0.60521
Rate of climb (ft/min) : 119.1058
Rate of climb (m/min) : 36.3127
You can estimate the average climb rate between FL $370(51.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min})$ and FL $380(36.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min})$. The solution using average values in the climb are shown below:

Average Rate of Climb $($ ROC $)=44 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$
Climb speed $=$ Mach 0.72
Average thrust $(\mathrm{N})=3.5652 \mathrm{e}+04$
Average fuel burn in climb $(\mathrm{N})=0.4725$ kilograms/second
Time to climb from FL 370 to $\mathrm{FL} 380=6.92$ minutes
Fuel used in climb $=196.4$ kilograms
Distance used in climb $=47.7$ nautical miles.
An alternative method is to run the unrestricted climb analysis to determine the time and distance to climb from FL 370 to 380 .

The fuel used in the climb from FL 370 to FL 380 is 196.4 kilograms. The mass of the aircraft reaching FL 380 is the 66,328 kilograms. Running the numerical integration code with the mass and other factors yields:

Computational Steps 100
Cruise Mach Number (dim) 0.72
Cruise Distance (nm) 527
Cruise Fuel (kg) 1988.1739
Cruise Time (minutes) 76.5594
Cruise Time (hrs) 1.276
Initial Mass (kg) 66328
Final Mass (kg) 64339.8

Figure 3. TTBA Drag and Thrust at 37,000 feet and 68,791 kilograms (mass at the TOC).


Figure 4. Flight Profile with a 1000-foot Climb at Mid Point.

| Phase | Fuel Used <br> (kgs) | Distance <br> Flown (nm) | Initial Mass <br> (kgs) | Final Mass <br> (kgs) | Rate of Climb at <br> Starting Point <br> (m/min) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Climb | 1,209 | 106 | 70,000 | 68,791 | Variable |
| Cruise at FL <br> 370 | 2,267 | 575 | 68,791 | 66,524 |  |
| Climb (FL <br> 370 to FL <br> $380)$ | 196 | 47.7 | 66,524 | 66,328 |  |
| Cruise at FL <br> 380 | 2,117 | 527 | 66,328 | 64,340 |  |
| Descent (FL <br> 380 to sea <br> level) | 329 | 176 | 64,340 | 64,011 | N/A |
| Total | 6,118 | 1431.7 |  |  | 51.6 |

Scenario 2. Schedule multiple climbs throughout the cruise.
If we are strict about a climb requirement of $150 \mathrm{~m} /$ minute, the multiple climb scenario is not possible because the aircraft is limited by thrust to be able to climb in such route. Longer routes can produce one or two climbs for this kind of aircraft.

Scenario 3. Flight with a constant cruise altitude
This scenario is possible if the aircraft stays at a constant cruise altitude of FL 370. For the constant cruise segment ( 1150 nm ) we have:

Computational Steps 200
Cruise Mach Number (dim) 0.72
Cruise Distance (nm) 1150
Cruise Fuel (kg) 4510.4965
Cruise Time (minutes) 167.0652
Cruise Time (hrs) 2.7844
Initial Mass (kg) 68791
Final Mass (kg) 64280.5

Figure 5. Flight Profile Flown at Constant Altitude of 37,000 feet.

| Phase | Fuel Used <br> (kgs) | Distance <br> Flown (nm) | Initial Mass <br> (kgs) | Final Mass <br> (kgs) | Rate of Climb at <br> Starting Point <br> (m/min) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Climb | 1209 | 106 | 70000 | 68791 | Variable |
| Cruise at FL <br> 370 | 4510 | 1150 | 68791 | 64280 | N/A |
| Descent (FL <br> 370 to sea <br> level) | 329 | 176 | 64280 | 63951 | N/A |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

c) What is the fuel savings using the multi-climb profile for the TTBA?

No savings. The climb at the mid point is so slow (very low rate of climb) that the additional fuel spent in the climb makes the climb counterproductive. The content cruise altitude profile saves 70 kilograms compared to the profile with one climb.
d) Calculate the additional cost to the airline per flight (between the two profiles estimated in part (b)) if the fuel price today in large volumes is $\$ 2.70$ per gallon of Jet-A fuel (http://www.iata.org/ publications/economics/fuel-monitor/Pages/index.aspx). Comment if the cost differential would be significant if the airline has three daily flights between PUJ and JFK.

The weight of jet-A fuel is 0.8 kgs per liter. One gallon is 3.785 liters. A gallon of Jet-A weights 3.028 kgs per gallon. The price of Jet-A fuel per kilogram is $\$ 0.892$. Cruising at constant altitude saves $\$ 62.4$ per flight.

## Problem 2 (30 Points)

A low-cost airline started operations of Boeing 737-9 Max (with 194,700 lbs. max. design takeoff weight and 193 seats) between Providence (PVD) and Dublin (DUB). The engine used is the CFM Leap 1B27 engine.
a) Evaluate the suitability of the aircraft for the route in question.

## Characteristics of PVD (Providence) airport.

Airport elevation $=54$ feet
Longest runway $=8,700$ feet
Design temperature $=81.8$ deg.F.
ISA Temperature at 54 feet $=58.8$ deg. F .
Temperature difference $=23$ deg. F. Use the charts ISA +27 deg. F. If available .
Distance between PVD-DUB $=2,639 \mathrm{~nm}(2,797 \mathrm{~nm})$
Figure 6. Boeing 737-9Max Analysis for PVD-DUB.

| Parameter | Boeing 737-9 Max |
| :---: | :---: |
| OEW (lbs) | 104000 |
| MTOGW (lbs) | 194700 |
| Passenger Seats | 193 |
| Passenger Load (lbs) | 42460 |
| OEW + Payload (lbs) | 146460 |
| Fuel (lbs) | 41540 |
| DTW (lbs) | 188000 |
| Stage length (nm) | 2797 |
| Fuel per passenger (lbs) | 215 |
| Runway Length needed (feet). No adjustment | 9,000 |
| Runway Length needed (feet). With adjustment | 9,100 |
| Runway length Available | 8,700 |
| SAR (nm/lb) | 0.067 |

The fuel required for the trip is less than the maximum usable fuel ( 45,694 kilograms).
The payload required is less than the maximum structural payload.
b) Find the runway length needed at Providence (PVD) that satisfies FAA/EASA requirements.

Figure 6 shows the takeoff runway length requirements (without grade adjustment). The existing runway 5/23 at PVD has threshold elevation difference of 8.6 feet. Adding 86 feet to the solution yields a runway length requirement of 9,100 feet (rounded from 9086 feet). A 400 foot extension will be needed.

Figure 6. Boeing 737-9Max Takeoff Runway Length Requirements. ISA +27 deg . F.

c) If the total operating cost of the aircraft is 3.3 times the cost of the fuel used in the route, estimate the average fare required to breakeven with a load factor of $85 \%$. The cost of fuel is $\$ 2.7$ per gallon at today's prices.

Cost of fuel is $\$ 0.892$ per kilogram ( $\$ 0.405$ per pound). The total fuel carried in the trip is $41,540 \mathrm{lbs}$. ( 18,881 kilograms or 6200 gallons). The cost of fuel is $\$ 16,740$. The total cost of the flight is then $\$ 55,242$.

The airfare with load factor of $85 \%$ is $\$ 336.8$ per ticket.

## Problem 3 (30 Points)

The Payload-Range diagram of an aircraft offers useful information about the efficiency of operations across a wide spectrum of routes ( stage length) and payload conditions. An important parameter to evaluate the efficiency of aviation operations is the Specific Air Range (SAR) which measures the distance traveled for every pound (or kilogram) of fuel used.
a) Using the TTBA aircraft explain the steps that you would follow to construct a basic payloadrange diagram for the aircraft with three boundaries defined by the maximum zero fuel, the maximum takeoff, and the maximum fuel boundaries.

The process starts defining some key parameters for the calculations. Figure 7 illustrates the typical flight planning profile to estimate the aircraft range. The profile includes a diversion to an alternate airport. The diversion to the alternate airport may require specify information such as a cruise altitude and Mach number to the alternate. An alternative method is to account for the diversion to the alternate as a fraction of the total fuel used to fly from the origin to the destination airport or to set a time-based diversion (i.e., 1.5 hours of additional flight to account for the diversion).

Figure 7. Notional Flight Profile to Estimate Payload Range Diagram.


The computational process of estimating a payload-range diagram is outlined in the Matlab code provided (pay_range_2004.m available at http://128.173.204.63/cee5614/matlab files_cee5614.html).

## Step 1

Define operational parameters:
Airport departure elevation (feet or meters)
Cruise altitude (feet or meters)
Cruise speed (typically Mach number)
Cruise altitude to alternate (feet or meters)
Cruise speed to alternate (Mach number)
Fuel reserve time (hours) \% time for fuel reserve computation (hours)
Define the aircraft characteristics (for example use the SUGAR_class.m file)

## Step 2

Estimate the climb fuel requirement using the unrestricted climb profile scripts. The analysis can include an algorithm to select the cruise altitude with checks for Mach number (see Problem 1) and cruise altitude compatible with user input.

This step produces distance flown to TOC, travel time to TOC, and fuel used to TOC.

## Step 3

Estimate the fuel reserve to carry on any flight.
The calculation of fuel reserve is done in lines $93-98$ in the pay_range_2004 script. The calculation estimates the drag in the cruise condition to the alternate and then estimate the fuel burn. Fuel burn is translated to a total fuel quantity using the fuel reserve time.

## Step 4

Estimate range using the maximum payload boundary in the payload-range diagram.
The calculation of the range-payload diagram (max payload boundary) is done in lines 100-120 in the pay_range_2004 script. The calculation uses a loop to estimate range for a given fuel quantity (defined as fuel_mass1 In line 111. The Breguet range equations is used to estimate range. Alternatively, you could use the numerical range calculation procedure demonstrated in class.

## Step 5

Estimate range using the maximum takeoff weight boundary in the payload-range diagram.
The calculation of the range-payload diagram (maximum takeoff weight boundary) is done in lines 122-141 in the pay_range_2004 script. The calculation uses a loop to estimate range for a given fuel quantity (defined as fuel_mass2 In line 133. The Breguet range equations is used to estimate range. Alternatively, you could use the numerical range calculation procedure demonstrated in class.

## Step 6

Estimate range using the maximum fuel boundary in the payload-range diagram.
The calculation of the range-payload diagram (maximum fuel boundary) is done in lines 143-162 in the pay_range_2004 script. The calculation uses a loop to estimate range for maximum fuel and reducing the payload (defined as fuel_mass3 In line 153. The Breguet range equations is used to estimate range. Alternatively, you could use the numerical range calculation procedure demonstrated in class.

## Step 7

Collect all results of Steps 1-6 and plot.
The results of the calculations explained in Steps 1-6 are summarized into three vectors (lines 166-168) of the pay_range_2004 script.
b) Provide a sample calculation to show me how would you construct the payload-range diagram for such aircraft.

I used the following parameters to generate sample payload-range plots of the TTBA aircraft. The pay_range_2004 script generates the three boundaries of the payload-range diagram.
h_airport $=0 ; \quad$ \% airport altitude ( m )
rhos $=1.225 ; \quad$ \% sea level density ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{m}$ )
hcruise $=36000 / 3.28 ; \quad \%$ cruise altitude (m)
time_fuel_reserve = 1.0; $\%$ time for fuel reserve computation (hours)
SUGAR_class \% Aircraft file
mach_cruise $=0.73 ; \quad$ \% cruising mach number (dim)
hcruise_alternate $=6000 ; \quad$ \% cruising altitude to alternate airport (meters)
mach_cruise_alternate $=0.70 ; \quad \%$ mach number in cruise to alternate airport
Figures 8 and 9 sho two solutions of the payload-range diagram for the TTBA. Flying at Mach 0.73 we notice a reduction in the ferry range of 300 nautical miles. A similar reduction in range occurs at intersection of the maximum takeoff weight and maximum fuel boundaries.

Figure 8. Payload-Range Diagram for TTBA Aircraft. Mach 0.71 and Cruise at FL 360.


Figure 9. Payload-Range Diagram for TTBA Aircraft. Mach 0.73 and Cruise at FL 360.


