## Air Transportation Cost Models



## Material Presented in this Section

- Review of aircraft cost models (supply costs in air transportation)
- How supply of service affects the operational economics of the air transportation service
- Apply aircraft performance functions to derive supply relationships
- Cost development models
- Case study: low-boom aircraft


## Aircraft Supply Cost Modeling

- Supply function costs are a very important component in the analysis of air transportation systems
- Supply costs are driven by the economics of the aircraft used, the network structure of the service provider (degree of "hub consolidation"), labor costs, etc.
- Two views of the world to derive supply costs in air transportation:
- Fare-based models
- Life-cycle cost models based on actual information about organizational cost components


## Taxonomy of Air Transportation Supply Models



## Fare-Based Models

- Look into the public record and attempt to capture the average fare paid by users in a given air transportation segment
- No attempt made to evaluate individual costs of providing service
- Fares vary dramatically in NAS (specially for airlinetype operations)
- These models have appeal because they are simple to derive once you have good access to the fare data
- Best sources of data: airline bookings, DOT BTS DB1B data (go to http://transtats.bts.org)


## Fare-Based Models

- We provide you with a sample fare-based model developed at Virginia Tech to predict commercial airline costs across NAS
- This work is part of an integrated transportation systems assessment plan to evaluate new NASA concepts like SATS - Small Aircraft Transportation System
- The model has also been used in mode split analysis calibration for the FAA NAS Strategy Simulator (recently)


## What is the DB1B Database?

- A $10 \%$ sample of tickets sold in the country by carriers
- Only a sample (so be aware of possible errors in low density markets)
- Collected by DOT and published by the Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS) at http:// transtats.bts.org
- Three types of records are collected:
- Coupon
- Market
- Ticket


## Brief Summaries of Each Record



- Coupon Record
- Operating carrier, origin and destination airports, number of passengers, fare class, coupon type, trip break indicator, gateway indicator, and distance
- Market Record
- Includes such items as passengers, fares, and distances for each directional market
- Ticket Record
- Reporting carrier, prorated market fare, number of market coupons, market miles flown, and carrier change indicators


## Sample Coupon Records

| coupt | dest | distand | farecla | itinid | mktid | opcar | origin | passer | quarter | seqnum | year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | ATL | 576.0 | Y | 200011000075 | 200011856239 | DL | BWI | 1.00 | 1 | 3 | 2000 |
| 4 | JAX | 270.0 | Y | 200011000075 | 200011856239 | DL | ATL | 1.00 | 1 | 4 | 2000 |
| 4 | ATL | 270.0 | X | 200011000076 | 200011856240 | DL | JAX | 1.00 | 1 | 1 | 2000 |
| 4 | BWI | 576.0 | X | 200011000076 | 200011856240 | DL | ATL | 1.00 | 1 | 2 | 2000 |
| 4 | ATL | 576.0 | Y | 200011000076 | 200011856241 | DL | BWI | 1.00 | 1 | 3 | 2000 |
| 4 | JAX | 270.0 | Y | 200011000076 | 200011856241 | DL | ATL | 1.00 | 1 | 4 | 2000 |
| 4 | ATL | 270.0 | X | 200011000077 | 200011856242 | DL | JAX | 1.00 | 1 | 1 | 2000 |
| 4 | BWI | 576.0 | X | 200011000077 | 200011856242 | DL | ATL | 1.00 | 1 | 2 | 2000 |
| 4 | ATL | 576.0 | Y | 200011000077 | 200011856243 | DL | BWI | 1.00 | 1 | 3 | 2000 |
| 4 | JAX | 270.0 | Y | 200011000077 | 200011856243 | DL | ATL | 1.00 | 1 | 4 | 2000 |
| 4 | ATL | 270.0 | Y | 200011000078 | 200011856244 | DL | JAX | 1.00 | 1 | 1 | 2000 |
| 4 | BWI | 576.0 | Y | 200011000078 | 200011856244 | DL | ATL | 1.00 | 1 | 2 | 2000 |
| 4 | ATL | 576.0 | X | 200011000078 | 200011856245 | DL | BWI | 1.00 | 1 | 3 | 2000 |
| 4 | JAX | 270.0 | X | 200011000078 | 200011856245 | DL | ATL | 1.00 | 1 | 4 | 2000 |
| 4 | ATL | 270.0 | Y | 200011000079 | 200011856246 | DL | JAX | 1.00 | 1 | 1 | 2000 |
| 4 | BWl | 576.0 | Y | 200011000079 | 200011856246 | DL | ATL | 1.00 | 1 | 2 | 2000 |
| 4 | ATL | 576.0 | X | 200011000079 | 200011856247 | DL | BWI | 1.00 | 1 | 3 | 2000 |
| 4 | JAX | 270.0 | X | 200011000079 | 200011856247 | DL | ATL | 1.00 | 1 | 4 | 2000 |
| 2 | LAS | 1055 | X | 20001100008 | 20001185729.0 | AA | DFW | 1.00 | 1 | 1 | 2000 |
| 2 | DFW | 1055 | X | 20001100008 | 20001185730.0 | AA | LAS | 1.00 | 1 | 2 | 2000 |
| 5 | ATL | 270.0 | X | 200011000080 | 200011856248 | DL | JAX | 1.00 | 1 | 1 | 2000 |
| 5 | BWI | 576.0 | X | 200011000080 | 200011856248 | DL | ATL | 1.00 | 1 | 2 | 2000 |
| 5 | Cos | 1504 | N | 200011000080 | 200011856248 | -- | BWI | 1.00 | 1 | 3 | 2000 |

## Sample Market Records

|  | dest | itinid | mkttare | mktid | mktmile | origin | pass | quarter | ar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DL | JAX | 200011000072 | 172.00 | 200011856233 | 846.00 | BWI | 1.00 | 1 | 2000 |
| DL | BWI | 200011000073 | 194.00 | 2000118562 | 845 | IAX | 200 |  | 2000 |
| DL | JAX | 200011000073 | 194.00 | ${ }^{20000116}$ Fare Information | Fare Information |  |  |  | 2000 |
| DL | BWI | 200011000074 | 197.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 2000 |
| DL | JAX | 200011000074 | 197.00 | 200011856237 | 846.00 | BWI | 1.00 |  | 2000 |
| DL | BWI | 200011000075 | 212.00 | 200011856238 | 846.00 | JAX | 1.00 |  | 2000 |
| DL | JAX | 200011000075 | 212.00 | 200011856239 | 846.00 | BWI | 1.00 | 1 | 2000 |
| AA | DFW | 2000199955.0 | 275.00 | 20001185624 | 1055.0 | LAS | 1.00 | 1 | 2000 |
| DL | BWI | 200011000076 | 218.00 | 200011856240 | 846.00 | JAX | 1.00 |  | 2000 |
| DL | JAX | 200011000076 | 218.00 | 200011856241 | 846.00 | BWI | 1.00 | 1 | 2000 |
| DL | BWI | 200011000077 | 257.00 | 200011856242 | 846.00 | JAX | 1.00 | 1 | 200 |
| DL | JAX | 200011000077 | 257.00 | 200011856243 | 846.00 | BWI | 1.00 | 1 | 2000 |
| DL | BWI | 200011000078 | 245.00 | 200011856244 | 846.00 | JAX | 1.00 |  | 2000 |
| DL | JAX | 200011000078 | 245.00 | 200011856245 | 846.00 | BWI | 1.00 | 1 | 2000 |
| DL | EWI | 200011000079 | 289.00 | 200011856246 | 846.00 | JAX | 1.00 | 1 | 20 |
| DL | JAX | 200011000079 | 289.00 | 200011856247 | 846.00 | BWI | 1.00 | 1 | 2000 |
| DL | Cos | 200011000080 | 1.00 | 200011856248 | 846.00 | JAX | 1.00 | 1 | 2000 |
| DL | JAX | 200011000080 | 1.00 | 200011856249 | 1455.0 | Cos | 1.00 | 1 | 2000 |
| AA | LAS | 2000199956.0 | 276.00 | 20001185625 | 1055.0 | DFW | 1.00 | 1 | 2000 |
| DL | BWI | 200011000081 | 54.00 | 200011856250 | 846.00 | JAX | 1.00 | 1 | 2000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Summary of Information Contained in DB1B Records

|  |  | TABLE TYP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FIELD | COUPON | MARKET | TICKET |
| Itinerary ID | Y | Y | Y |
| Market ID | Y | $Y$ |  |
| Year | Y | Y | Y |
| Quarter | Y | Y | Y |
| Sequence number | Y |  |  |
| Coupons | Y | Y | Y |
| No of Passengers | Y | Y | Y |
| Fare Class | Y |  |  |
| Market fare |  | Y | Y |
| Distance | Y | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| Origin | Y | Y | Y |
| Destination | Y | Y |  |
| Carrier | Y | Y | Y |
| Itinerary yield |  |  | Y |

## Sample Information Provided by Combining DB1B Records



## Sample Procedure to Obtain a Fare-based Model



Requires a good data mining software (to handle large records such as SAS, SPSS, or even Matlab)

## Fare-Based Models

## RESULTS FROM DB1B



## Sample Fares Extracted from DB1B



NEXTOR - National Center of Excellence for Aviation Research

## First and Business Class Fares in NAS



## Virginia Tech Fare-Based Models (Commercial Airline Service in the year 2000)

Coach (general model) For distance $>50$ miles
fare $=$ distance $/\left(-0.14+0.039{ }^{*}\right.$ distance $\left.{ }^{0.654}\right)$
R -square $=0.76$
Coach (above 100 statute miles) For distance > 100 miles
fare $=$ distance $/\left(-0.26+0.027{ }^{*}\right.$ distance $\left.{ }^{0.727}\right)$
R-square $=0.78$
Business (general model) For distance $>50$ miles
fare $=$ distance $/\left(-1.599+0.617 *\right.$ distance $\left.{ }^{0.262}\right)$
$R$-square $=0.46$
Business (above 100 statute miles) For distance > 100 miles
fare $=$ distance $/\left(-0.67+0.241{ }^{*}\right.$ distance $\left.{ }^{0.3508}\right)$
R-square $=0.55$
Coach fares (54,300 OD pairs), Business and First Class fares (13,200 OD pairs) Source: DOT DB1B year 2000 data (all 10\% samples)

## Fare Based Models (Graphical)



Models are restricted to distances above $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ miles Source: DB1B data ( 12 million records, year 2000)

## Sample Airline Fuel Cost Function




NEXTOR - National Center of Excellence for Aviation Research

## Life Cycle Cost (LCC) Models

- An attempt to derive specific cost components of the service
- Each cost category is modeled as a state variable (an accumulator over time) with cost activities modeled over a long period of time (life cycle)
- Logistic support and maintenance actions are considered in the analysis


## Sample General Aviation (GA) LCC Model

- Life-cycle GA models developed for NASA Langley Research Center
- Two types of models:
- Generic model to predict cost for any size and weight given an engine technology
- Specific GA aircraft models

GA technologies considered:

- $\mathrm{SE}=$ single engine
- ME = multi-engine piston and turboprop
- Jet $=$ jet engine aircraft


## General Costs Categories Considered in the Model

- Variable costs (fuel, maintenance hrs., parts, miscellaneous)
- Fixed costs (hull insurance, liability, software, miscellaneous)
- Periodic costs (engine overhaul, paint, interiors, flight deck upgrades)
- Personnel costs (captain and first officer - if applicable)
- Training costs (crew training and recurrent training, maintenance training)


## General Costs Categories Considered in the Model (continuation)

- Facilities costs (hangar space, office lease, miscellaneous)
- Depreciation cost (amortization of aircraft value)

Data Sources: Business and Commercial Aviation and ARG/US data (years 2001-2003)

## Generic LCC GA Cost Model

- Derives costs from fundamental relationships such aircraft design and operational parameters



## Sample Generic GA Cost Model

Assumption: $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ hours of operation per year


Source of aircraft prices: Business and Commercial Aviation (2001)

## Sample Results of the Generic Aircraft Model



## Aircraft Specific Cost Models

- Employed when one individual aircraft or technology is to be evaluated in great detail
- Considers actual costs (if available) or scaled costs from other aircraft if the technology is not mature
- An example model provided in the following pages was developed to help NASA Langley establish baseline costs for new generation of very light business jets like the Eclipse 500 and Safire


## Aircraft Specific Cost Model (Eclipse 500)



| Annual Yarisble Cost | $144,948.47$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Annual Amortization C... $44,411.0$ <br>   |  |


| Annual Fixed Costs | $42,792.0$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Annual Hangar and Offi... $49,800.0$ |  |


| Annual Periodic Costs | $43,666.7$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Annual Personnel Costs $68,750.0$ <br> Annual Traning Cost $10,500.00$ <br> Total Annual Cost  <br> Annusl Costs of Opera...  |  |$.$| $404,868.1$ |
| :--- |




Percent Ressle Yalue



## Modeling Partial Causal Diagram



## Vehicle Performance Functions

Mission Profile 1
Stage length $=800 \mathrm{~nm}$
Fuel $=1082 \mathrm{lb}$
Travel time $=2.40$ hours


NEXTOR - National Center of Excellence for Aviation Research

# Sample Aircraft Specific Model 



1 Professional Pilot, \$2.5/gallon fuel cost, 70\% load factor Eclipse 500 with Pratt and Whitney 610F Engines

## Summary of Costs of Air Transportation Supply

- Corporate Jet aircraft
- 50-350 cents ASM
- Regional turboprop aircraft (EMB-120, ATR-72)
- 9.2 to 11.5 cents per ASM
- Regional jets (Bombardier CRJ-200, Embraer 145)
- 9.5 to14.0 cents per ASM
- Transport aircraft (Boeing 737-800, Airbus A321)
- 6.1 to 8.2 cents per ASM


## Sample Aircraft Fuel Efficiency in Cruise

Passenger-Miles per Gallon
All Seats Filled


## Remarks



- Transportation supply functions are necessary to understand the dynamic relationships between supply and demand forces in air transportation
- Without adequate supply-based aircraft models, the analysis of NAS impact metrics such as delays, capacity and costs to users is not possible
- Fuel costs is just one component of the total LCC of operating aircraft. Other costs components need to be specified in cost-benefit studies
- We advise the use of LCC cost models in NAS costbenefit analysis


# Where Can I get Information on Airline Operating Costs? 

- DOT

Form 4I, P52
Schedule (available at BTS
web site)

| DOT Form 41 P52 Schedule - Aircraft Operating Cost |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PILOT_FLY_OPS | 3434.00 | AIRCRAFT_CONFIG | 1 |
| OTH_FLT_FLY_OPS |  | AIRCRAFT_GROUP | 6 |
| TRAIN_FLY_OPS |  | AIRCRAFT_TYPE | 612 |
| PERS_EXP_FLY_OPS | 226.00 | AIrLine_id | 19704 |
| PRO_FLY_OPS |  | unique_carrier | CO |
| INTERCHG_FLY_OPS |  | UNIQUE_CARRIER_NAME | Continental Air Lines Inc. |
| FUEL_FLY_OPS | 10107.00 | carrier | CO |
| OIL_FLY_OPS |  | CARRIER_NAME | Continental Air Lines Inc. |
| RENTAL_FLY_OPS | 2977.00 | UNIQUE_CARRIER_ENTITY | 10220 |
| OTHER_FLY_OPS |  | REGIon | L |
| INS_FLY_OPS | 49.00 | CARRIER_GROUP_NEW | 3 |
| BENEFITS_FLY_OPS | 1116.00 | CARRIER_GROUP | 3 |
| INCIDENT_FLY_OPS |  | year | 2004 |
| PAY_TAX_FLY_OPS | 200.00 | Quarter | 4 |
| OTH_TAX_FLY_OPS | 343.00 | f64 |  |
| OTHER_EXP_FLY_OPS |  |  |  |
| TOT_FLY_OPS | 18452.00 | AC_TYPEID |  |
| AIRFRAME_LABOR | 563.00 | AC_GROUP | 6 |
| ENGINE_LABOR | -43.00 | SSD_NAME | BOEING 737-700/LR |
| AIRFRAME_REPAIR | 370.00 | MANUFACTURER | BOEING |
| ENGINE_REPAIRS | 977.00 | LONG_NAME | BOEING 737-700/700LR |
| INTERCHG_CHARG |  | Average_OperatingCost_per_Hour | 2,550.11 |
| AIRFRAME_MATERIALS | 137.00 | Sum_of_Air_Cost | 625,322,125 |
| ENGINE_MATERIALS | -8.00 | Sum_ot_Air_Hours | 88,242 |
| AIRFRAME_ALLOW |  | Expected_Value_ot_Costper_Hour | 7,086 |
| AIRFRAME_OVERHAULS |  |  |  |
| ENGINE_ALLOW |  | OTH_FLT_EQUIP_DEP_GRP_I | 1739.00 |
| engine_overhauls |  | FLT_EQUIP_A_EXP |  |
| TOT_DIR_MAINT | 1996.00 | FLY_OPS_EXP_I_A |  |
| AP_Mt_BURDEN | 1082.00 | TOT_AIR_OP_EXPENSES | 22288.00 |
| TOT_FLT_MAINT_MEMO | 3078.00 | DEV_N_PREOP_EXP |  |
| NET_OBSOL_PARTS | 19.00 | OTH_INTANGIBLES |  |
| AIRFRAME_DEP | 339.00 | EQUIP_N_HANGAR_DEP |  |
| engine_dep | 155.00 | G_PROP_DEP |  |
| PARTS_DEP | 30.00 | CAP_LEASES_DEP |  |
| ENG_PARTS_DEP | 14.00 | TOTAL_AIR_HOURS | 8.74 |
| OTH_FLT_EQUIP_DEP | 201.00 | AIR_DAYS_ASSIGN | 0.99 |
|  |  | AIR_FUELS_ISSUED | 7269.00 |

## Example Information

| T100 Aircraft Name | T100 Aircraft Code | Hourly_Operating_Cost |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Airbus Industrie A300-600/R/Cf/Rcf | 691 | 10,797 |
| Airbus Industrie A-318 | 644 | 3,829 |
| Airbus Industrie A320-100/200 | 694 | 4,362 |
| Airbus Industrie A319 | 698 | 4,039 |
| Airbus Industrie A320-100/200 | 694 | 4,362 |
| Airbus Industrie A321 | 699 | 4,572 |
| Aerospatiale/Aeritalia Atr-72 | 442 | 2,946 |
| Beechcraft Super King Air | 458 | 1,275 |
| Beech 1900 A/B/C/D | 405 | 1,375 |
| Pilatus Britten-Norman Bn2/A Islander | 131 | 380 |
| Mcdonnell Douglas Dc-9-50 | 650 | 4,695 |
| Mcdonnell Douglas Dc9 Super 80/Md81/2/3/7/8 | 655 | 5,441 |
| Mcdonnell Douglas Dc9 Super 80/Md81/2/3/7/8 | 655 | 5,441 |
| Mcdonnell Douglas Dc9 Super 80/Md81/2/3/7/8 | 655 | 5,441 |
| Mcdonnell Douglas Dc9 Super 80/Md81/2/3/7/8 | 655 | 5,441 |
| Mcdonnell Douglas Dc9 Super 80/Md81/2/3/7/8 | 655 | 5,441 |
| Mcdonnell Douglas Md-90 | 656 | 4,415 |
| Boeing 717-200 | 608 | 4,475 |

## Tl00 Form 4I Operating Costs (20I2)



## Commercial Aircraft List Prices (2013)

| Aircraft | List Price (\$M) | Max. Takeoff Mass (kg) |  | \$ per kg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 737-700 | 76 |  | 77,500 | 980.65 |
| 737-800 | 90.5 | 106.1 in 2019 | 79,020 | 1,145.28 |
| 737-900ER | 96.1 |  | 85,000 | 1,130.59 |
| 737 MAX 7 | 85.1 |  | 72,303 | 1,176.99 |
| 737 MAX 8 | 103.7 | 121.6 in 2019 | 82,200 | 1,261.56 |
| 737 MAX 9 | 109.9 |  | 88,300 | 1,244.62 |
| 747-8 | 356.9 |  | 447,600 | 797.36 |
| 747-8 Freighter | 357.5 | 419.2 in 2019 | 447,600 | 798.70 |
| 767-300ER | 185.8 |  | 158,760 | 1,170.32 |
| 767-300 Freighter | 188 | 220.3 in 2019 | 158,760 | 1,184.18 |

## Commercial Aircraft List Prices (2013)

| Aircraft | List Price (\$M) | Max. Takeoff Mass (kg) |  | \$ per kg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 777-300ER | 320.2 | 375.1 in 2019 | 351,540 | 910.85 |
| 777 Freighter | 300.5 |  | 347,458 | 864.85 |
| 787-8 | 211.8 |  | 228,000 | 928.95 |
| 787-9 | 249.5 | 292.5 in 2019 | 248,000 | 1,006.05 |
| 787-10 | 288.7 | 338.4 in 2019 | 251,000 | 1,150.20 |
| A318 | 70.1 |  | 68,001 | 1,030.87 |
| A319 | 83.6 |  | 75,501 | 1,107.27 |
| A320 | 91.5 | 104.6 in 2019 | 77,001 | 1,188.30 |
| A321 | 107.3 | 122.5 in 2019 | 93,002 | 1,153.74 |
| A319neo | 92 |  | 76,000 | 1,210.53 |

## Commercial Aircraft List Prices (2013)

| Aircraft | List Price (\$M) | Max. Takeoff Mass <br> (kg) | \$ per kg |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A330-200 | 216.1 | 233,004 | 927.45 |  |
| A330-200F | 219.1 | 233,000 | 940.34 |  |
| A330-300 | 239.4 | 218,000 | $1,098.17$ |  |
| A350-800 | 254.3 |  | 245,000 | $1,037.96$ |
| A350-900 | 287.7 | 317.4 in 2018 | 265,000 | $1,085.66$ |
| A350-1000 | 332.1 | 366.3 in 2018 | 295,000 | $1,125.76$ |
| A380-800 | 403.9 | 445.6 in 2018 | 573,000 | 704.89 |
| CRJ-700 Nextgen | 37 |  | 34,100 | $1,085.04$ |
| CRJ-900 Nextgen | 46.2 |  | 37,420 | $1,234.63$ |
| E175 | 32 |  | 37,500 | 853.33 |
| E190 | 44 |  | 47,800 | 920.50 |
| E195 | 47 | 48,750 | 964.10 |  |

## Commercial Aircraft Price vs. MTOW



## Commercial Aircraft Lease Rates (202I)


source:AirFinance Journal Magazine July-August 202I, page 45

Commercial Aircraft Lease Rates (202I)

source:AirFinance Journal Magazine July-August 2021, page 45

## Aircraft Development Cost Model

## Goal: To Estimate of the Unit Cost of the Developing Aircraft

## Aircraft Cost Analysis Workflow

Aircraft design process considers:

- Aircraft range
- Payload
- Runway field length
- Wing loading
- Approach speed
- Mach number
- Aircraft size for airport compatibility



## Aircraft Cost Development Model

- Nicolai and Raymer's cost categories
- Airframe engineering
- Development and support
- Flight test
- Engines
- Avionics
- Manufacturing labor
- Material and equipment
- Tooling
- Quality control


## Example of cost equations

$E=k_{1} W^{c_{1}} S^{c_{2}} Q^{c_{3}}$
$E=$ Cumulative engineering hours (hrs)
$W=$ aircraft empty weight in pounds
$S=$ aircraft maximum speed (knots) at best altitude
$k_{1}, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ are calibration constants

- Flight test operations
- Test facilities
- Model uses L. Nicolai's cost relationships adapted from the DAPCA IV model
- Adaptations made to engine and avionics cost
- Learning curves are different for different activities in the aircraft development cycle

Sources of model equations:
Nicolai, L. and Carichner, G., Fundamentals of Aircraft and Airship Design, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2010
Raymer, D.P., Aircraft Design: A Conceptual Approach, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2018

## CEE 5614

## Additions to Cost Models

# Dr.Antonio A.Trani Professor <br> Civil and Environmental Engineering 

## Spring 2023

## A Simple Aircraft Development Cost Model

- Two Matlab scripts to estimate the unit cost of aircraft given four key parameters:
- Operating empty weight (lbs.)
- Aircraft maximum speed (knots)
- Aircraft engine thrust (lbs.)
- Quantity of aircraft to be produced
- Uses an adaptation of the RAND DAPCA IV model (Nicolai and Carichner)

Files to estimate the unit cost of an aircraft given: producton quantity, speed, empty weight and engine thrust. The function below estimates engineering hourly rates for production, research and development and flight testing.
http://I28.I73.204.63/cee56|4/matlab_files_cee56|4.html

## Example:Aircraft Development Cost Model

- Estimate the unit cost (in \$2020) for an aircraft with the following parameters:
- Operating empty weight $=370,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.
- Aircraft maximum speed $=516$ knots
- Aircraft engine thrust $=1 \mid 5,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ per engine
- Quantity of aircraft to be produced $=250-700$

| 16 | clear <br> close all clc |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 | \% Enter the key variables (data shown is similar to a large twin engine commercial aircraft lif |  |
| 21 | Qproduction $\quad=250: 10: 700$; | \% unites produced over the life cycle |
| 22 | MaxSpeed_knots = 516; | \% knots - maximum speed at cruise altitude |
| 23 | operating_empty_weight_lb $=370000$; | \% Pounds |
| 24 | thrust_surrogate_lb = 115000; | \% pounds per engine |

Assume profit margin is I.I5 (I5\%)

## Example:Aircraft Development Cost Model



## Functional Form of Cost-Estimating Relationships (CERs)

- Empty weight (equations in original RAND report use AMPR - American Manufacturer Planning Report) (W) in pounds
- Nicolai adapted the equations to introduce W as the aircraft empty weight
- Maximum speed at best altitude ( S ) in knots
- Aircraft quantity produced (Q)
- Hourly rates are estimated using US Dept. of Labor data and includes:
- direct labor
- administrative cost
- overhead
- miscellaneous

| Activity | Hourly Rate <br> (\$2020) | Hourly Rate <br> (\$1998) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Engineering | 145.5 | 88.8 |
| Tooling | 157.7 | 94.2 |
| Quality Control | 140.0 | 82.8 |
| Manufacturing | 126.3 | 75.4 |

Source: Nicolai - Year 1998 is the baseline year of equations

## Application to a 60-Seat Low Boom SST Aircraft



Source: K. Geiselhart, W. Li, and I. Ordaz, NASA Langley Research Center

## Aircraft Unit Cost Predicted for 60-Seat, Low-Boom Program

- Assumes 15\% profit margin
- Aircraft quantity produced (Q = 100 to 750 aircraft)



## 60-Seat, Low-Boom Program Costs (\$2020)

- Aircraft quantity produced (Q = 500 aircraft)



## Low Boom Supersonic 40-Passenger Jet Commercial Operation Model Assumptions

- Aircraft cost 227 million dollars per aircraft *
- Aircraft seats = 60
- Fuel cost = $\$ 2.50$ per gallon (airline cost)
- Baseline aircraft utilization - 3,500 hours annually
- Assume fly supersonic at Mach 1.6 overland (Mach 1.8 over water)
- Overhaul cost $=2.72$ million per engine
- Overhaul interval = 5,000 hours
- Maintenance hours per flight hour = 4.0
- Pilot salaries $=\$ 180,000$ per pilot ( $+30 \%$ benefits)
- Crew : Two pilots and two cabin crew
- Load factor = 75\%
- $10 \%$ adjustment cost for airline administrative costs
- Fuel burn scaling factor = 1.4 (compared to 40 -seat low Boom aircraft)
* Using Model 2 aircraft development cost equations


## Low Boom 60 Supersonic Aircraft



- Assumptions:
- \$227 million dollar/ aircraft
- 1.4 fuel scaling factor compared to optimized 40-seat low boom
- 85\% load factor (U.S. Continental)
- $\$ 2.72$ million in overhaul cost (per engine)

60 Seat Low Boom SST Cost per Passenger Mile: Typical Short Missions 85\% Domestic Routes Load Factor


# Cost Equations Used to Model Aircraft Development Cost 

Adapted from Nicolai, L. and Carichner, G., Fundamentals of Aircraft and Airship Design, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2010


## Example of the Aircraft Development Cost Equations

```
% Airframe engineering cost
% Calculate the engineering hours
EHours_DTE = 4.86 * Wempty_lb .^0.777 .* MaxSpeed_knots .^ 0.894 .* Qdevelopment .^ 0.163;
EHours_Total = 4.86 * Wempty_lb .^0.777 .* MaxSpeed_knots .^ 0.894 .* Qtotal.^ 0.163;
EHours_Production = EHours_Total - EHours_DTE ;
% Estimate the hourly rates for all four activities (cost)
[hourlyRateTooling,hourlyRateEngineering,hourlyRateManufacturing,hourlyRateQC] = calculateHourlyRates(yearOfAnalysis);
EngineeringDTE_Cost = EHours_DTE * hourlyRateEngineering;
EngineeringProduction_Cost = EHours_Total * hourlyRateEngineering;
Engineering_DTE_Cost = EHours_Production + EngineeringProduction_Cost;
% Development support cost
DevelopmentCost = 66 * Wempty_lb .^0.63 .* MaxSpeed_knots .^ 1.3; % in 1998 dollars
% Flight test and operations
FlightTestCost = 1852 * Wempty_lb .^0.325 .* MaxSpeed_knots .^ 0.822 .* Qdevelopment .^ 1.21;
```

Adapted from Nicolai, L. and Carichner, G., Fundamentals of Aircraft and Airship Design, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2010

