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## Methodologies to Assess Airport Capacity

- The capacity of an airport is a complex issue.
- Several elements of the airport facility have to be examined.
- Airside
- Landside



## Methodologies to Study Airport Capacity/ Delay

- Analytic models
- Easier and faster to execute
- Good for preliminary airport/airspace planning (when demand function is uncertain)
- Results are generally less accurate but appropriate
- Simulation-based models
- Require more work to execute
- Good for detailed assessment of existing facilities
- Results are more accurate and microscopic in nature


## Airfield Capacity



## Airfield Capacity (AC 150/5060-5)



Notes: Old data (1983)
Procedures have changed substantially (i.e., CRO, close parallel operations)

## Time-Space Analysis

- A simple technique to assess runway and airspace capacity if the headway between aircraft is known
- The basic idea is to estimate an expected headway, $E(h)$, and then estimate capacity as the inverse of the expected headway

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Capacity }=1 / E(h) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E(h)$ is expressed in time units (e.g., seconds)

## Time-Space Analysis Nomenclature

$\delta_{i j}$ is the minimum separation matrix (nm)
$T_{i j}$ is the headway between two successive aircraft (s)
$\delta$ is the minimum arrival-departure separation (nm)
$R O T_{i}$ is the runway occupancy time for aircraft i (s)
$\sigma_{0}$ is the standard deviation of the in-trail delivery error (s)
$V_{i}$ is the speed of aircraft $i$ (lead aircraft) in knots

## Time-Space Analysis Nomenclature

$V_{j}$ is the trailing aircraft speed (knots)
$\gamma$ is the common approach length (nm)
$B_{i j}$ is the buffer times matrix between successive aircraft (s)
$q_{v}$ is the value of the cumulative standard normal at probability of violation $p_{v}$
$p_{v}$ is the probability of violation of the minimum separation criteria between two aircraft

Understanding Technical Position Errors


## Approach and Landing Processes in Time-Space Diagram



## Possible Outcomes of a Single Runway TimeSpace Diagram

Aircraft approaching a runway arrive in a random pattern

Aircraft have different approach speeds
Two possible scenarios are observed:

- Opening Case - Instance when the approach speed of lead aircraft is higher than trailing aircraft ( $V_{i}>V_{j}$ )
- Closing case - Instance when the approach of the lead aircraft is less than that of the trailing aircraft $\left(V_{i} \leq V_{j}\right)$


## Opening Case Diagram (Arrivals Only)



## Opening Case (Equations)

Error free headway, $T_{i j}=T_{j}-T_{i}$, (no pilot and ATC controller error) assuming control is exercised as the lead aircraft passes the entry gate,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i j}=\frac{\delta^{i j}}{V_{j}}+\gamma\left(\frac{1}{V_{j}}-\frac{1}{V_{i}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Position error buffer time (with pilot and ATC controller error)

$$
B_{i j}=\sigma_{o} q_{v}-\delta_{i j}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1  \tag{3}\\
V_{j}
\end{array}-\frac{1}{V^{j}}\right) \text { or zero if } B_{i j}<0 .
$$

## Closing Case Diagram (Arrivals Only)



## Closing Case (Equations)

Error free headway, $T_{i j}=T_{j}-T_{i}$ (no pilot and ATC controller error) with the minimum separation enforced when the lead aircraft passes the runway threshold,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i j}=\frac{\delta^{i j}}{V_{j}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Position error buffer time (with pilot and ATC controller error) is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i j}=\sigma_{o} q_{v} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Mixed Runway Operations Diagram



## Mixed Runway Operations Notes

- The arriving aircraft leave natural gaps in the time space diagram
- When gaps ( $G$ ) are long, ATC controllers can schedule one or more departures in the gap
- The size of the gaps depends on:
- Runway occupancy time (for lead aircraft)
- Runway occupancy time for departing aircraft
- Minimum departure-departure headway (seconds)
- Minimum arrival-departure separation ( $\delta$ )


## [1IVirginiaTech <br> Invent the Future

## Example of Departure-Arrival Separation ( $\delta$ )


source: A.A. Trani

## Boeing 737-300 starts takeoff roll at time $=0$ Picture taken at time $\sim 18$ seconds into the takeoff roll

Embraer 175 crosses the runway threshold $\sim 40$ seconds after Boeing 737-300 started its takeoff roll

Embraer 175 typical approach speed is 124 knots (see Appendix 1 of FAA AC 150/5300-13a)

Distance to threshold to cover 40 seconds is: 1.4 nautical miles!

Typical departure-arrival separation is 2 nm at most US airports

## Mixed Runway Operations (Gap Analysis)

- In the U.S. the current minimum separation between arrivals and departures ( $\delta$ ) is 2 nautical miles

Define:

- $T_{1}$ as the time when the lead aircraft completes the landing roll (i.e., exits the runway plane)
- $T_{2}$ as the time when the following arriving aircraft is ( $\delta$ ) from the runway threshold
- The gap $(G)$ is the time difference between $T_{2}$ and $T_{1}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=T_{2}-T_{1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Mixed Runway Operations (Gap Analysis)

Note that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=T_{i}+R O T_{i} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2}=T_{j}-\frac{\delta}{V_{j}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=T_{j}-\frac{\delta}{V_{j}}-\left(T_{i}+R O T_{i}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Mixed Runway Operations (Gap Analysis)

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\left(T_{j}-T_{i}\right)-\frac{\delta}{V_{j}}-R O T_{i} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Note that, $\left(T_{j}-T_{i}\right)$ is the actual headway between the lead and following aircraft ( $T_{i j}+B_{i j}$ ).
- This actual headway includes the buffer times since air traffic control will apply those buffers to each successive arrival pair.
- Our analysis focuses in finding suitable gaps between successive aircraft arrivals.


## Gap Analysis

Assume that we would like to find instances such that the gap is zero. This is the limiting case to schedule one departure between successive arrivals.

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(T_{j}-T_{i}\right)-\frac{\delta}{V_{j}}-R O T_{i} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

knowing

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)-\frac{\delta}{V_{j}}-R O T_{i} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Mixed Runway Operations (Gap Analysis)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)=\frac{\delta}{V_{j}}+R O T_{i} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n$ departures in gap $k$ the expected value of $T_{i j}+B_{i j}$ has to be longer than:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)=\frac{\delta}{V_{j}}+R O T_{i}+(n-1) T D_{k} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T D_{k}$ is the runway occupancy time of departure $k$.

## Finding Departure Occupancy Time $T D_{k}$

- In VFR conditions:
- Air traffic controllers can dispatch aircraft as soon as the previous departure clears the runway while still enforcing wake turbulence criteria
- Under IMC conditions, the runway occupancy time for a departing aircraft $T D_{k}$ is smaller than the minimum headway allowed between departures. This happens because under IMC conditions aircraft are expected to follow a prescribed climb procedure and usually navigate to a departure fix before changing heading.
- Let $\varepsilon_{i j}$ be the minimum departure-departure headway applied by air traffic control. Equation (14) can then be modified to estimate the availability of a gap to release $n$ departures.


## Gap Analysis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)=\frac{\delta}{V_{j}}+R O T_{i}+(n-1) \varepsilon_{i j} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

- One final term usually added to this equation is a pilot reaction time term to account for a possible delay time (departing aircraft) to initiate the takeoff roll. This time is justified because jet engines used in transport aircraft take a few seconds to "spool up" and generate full thrust. Let $\tau$ be the time delay (in seconds) for the departing aircraft.


## Gap Analysis (Adding Pilot/ATC Time Delays)

Adding the pilot/ATC controller time delay term Equation (14) becomes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)=\frac{\delta}{V_{j}}+R O T_{i}+(n-1) \varepsilon_{i j}+\tau \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)$ is calculated as an expected value in the analysis for arrivals only,

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right) \geq E\left(\frac{\delta}{V_{j}}\right)+E\left(R O T_{i}\right)+  \tag{17}\\
& (n-1) E\left(\varepsilon_{i j}\right)+E(\tau)
\end{align*}
$$

## Consolidated Wake Turbulence Recategorization Classification (CWT)

- FAA Introduced a consolidated wake re-categorization in 2019
- FAA Order JO $7110.126 B$



# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION 

Air Traffic Organization Policy

## ORDER <br> JO 7110.126B

Effective Date:
November 9, 2021
SUBJ: Consolidated Wake Turbulence (CWT)

1. Purpose of This Order. This order provides procedural guidance to FAA Order JO 7110.65, Air Traffic Control, related to the use of Consolidated Wake Turbulence procedures and separation minima.

# Consolidated Wake Turbulence Recategorization Classification (CWT) 

## Defines nine wake classes including pairwise classes

## Appendix A <br> Aircraft Wake Categories

Category A - A388 and A225.
Category B - Pairwise Upper Heavy aircraft.
Category C - Pairwise Lower Heavy aircraft
Category D - Non-Pairwise Heavy aircraft.
Category E - B757 aircraft.
Category F - Upper Large aircraft excluding B757 aircraft.
Category G - Lower Large aircraft.
Category H - Upper Small aircraft with a maximum takeoff weight of more than 15,400 pounds up to 41,000 pounds.
Category I - Lower Small aircraft with a maximum takeoff weight of 15,400 pounds or less.

## Consolidated Wake Turbulence Recategorization Classification (CWT)

## Defines nine wake classes including pairwise classes

| Category | Description |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | A388 |
| B | Pairwise Upper Heavy aircraft |
| C | Pairwise Lower Heavy aircraft |
| D | Non-Pairwise Heavy aircraft |
| E | B757 aircraft |
| F | Upper Large aircraft excluding B757 aircraft |
| G | Lower Large aircraft <br> H <br> Hpper Small aircraft with a maximum takeoff weight of more than <br> 15,400 pounds up to 41,000 pounds <br> Lower Small aircraft with a maximum takeoff weight of 15,400 pounds <br> or less |
| I |  |

## Consolidated Wake Turbulence Recategorization Classification (CWT)

Aircraft Types Categorized

| $\mathbf{A}$ Super | B Upper Heavy |  | D <br> Non-Pairwise Heavy |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf{B 7 5 7} \end{gathered}$ | $F$Upper Large |  | GLower Large |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A388 | A332 | A306 | A124 | DC85 | B752 | A318 | C130 | AT43 | E170 | ASTR | BE10 |
| A225 | A333 | A30B | A339 | DC86 | B753 | A319 | C30J | AT72 | E45X | B190 | BE20 |
|  | A343 | A310 | A342 | DC87 |  | A320 | CVLT | CL60 | E75L | BE40 | BE58 |
|  | A345 | B762 | A3ST | E3CF |  | A321 | DC93 | CRJ1 | E75S | B350 | BE99 |
|  | A346 | B763 | A400 | E3TF |  | B712 | DC95 | CRJ2 | F16 | C560 | C208 |
|  | A359 | B764 | A50 | E6 |  | B721 | DH8D | CRJ7 | F18H | C56X | C210 |
|  | B742 | C17 | AN22 | E767 |  | B722 | E190 | CRJ9 | F18S | C680 | C25A |
|  | B744 | DC10 | B1 | IL62 |  | B732 | GL5T | CRJX | F900 | C750 | C25B |
|  | B748 | K35R | B2 | IL76 |  | B733 | GLEX | DC91 | FA7X | CL30 | C402 |
|  | B772 | MD11 | B52 | IL86 |  | B734 | GLF5 | DH8A | GLF2 | E120 | C441 |
|  | B773 |  | B703 | IL96 |  | B735 | GLF6 | DH8B | GLF3 | F2TH | C525 |
|  | B77L |  | B741 | K35E |  | B736 | MD82 | DH8C | GLF4 | FA50 | C550 |
|  | B77W |  | B743 | KE3 |  | B737 | MD83 | E135 | SB20 | GALX | P180 |
|  | B788 |  | B74D | L101 |  | B738 | MD87 | E145 | SF34 | H25B | PAY2 |
|  | B789 |  | B74R | MYA4 |  | B739 | MD88 |  |  | LJ31 | PA31 |
|  | C5 |  | B74S | R135 |  |  | MD90 |  |  | LJ35 | PC12 |
|  | C5M |  | B78X | T144 |  |  |  |  |  | LJ45 | SR22 |

## Consolidated Wake Turbulence Classification



## Consolidated Wake Turbulence Classification



# In-Trail Arrival-Arrival Separation Rules under CWT Standards 

IMC Conditions

Airport Surveillance Radar and ADS-B Available

Runway


FOLLOWER


|  |  |  |  |  | LLOW |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I |
| A |  | 5 NM | 6 NM | 6 NM | 7 NM | 7 NM | 7 NM | 8 NM | 8 NM |
| B |  | 3 NM | 4 NM | 4 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM |
| C |  |  |  |  | 3.5 NM | 3.5 NM | 3.5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM |
| D |  | 3 NM | 4 NM | 4 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM |
| E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 NM |
| F |  | Empty cells values are Minimum Radar Separations (MRS) 3nautical miles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I |  | Runways that meet an average Runway Occupancy Time < 50 seconds can reduce MRS to 2.5 nm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Typical In-Trail Wake Airspace Separations IMC Conditions (ICAO)


Lang, Eriksen and Tittsworth, WakeNet 3 Europe, 2010

## Legacy Aircraft Wake Groups

| Aircraft Group | Maximum Takeoff Weight (lb) | Sample Aircraft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Superheavy | $>1,000,000$ | Airbus A380-800 |
| Heavy | 255,000 to 1e6 | Boeing 747-8, Airbus <br> A340-600,Airbus A330-300, <br> Boeing 767-300 |
| B757 | 255,000 | Boeing 757-300 and Boeing <br> $757-200$ |
| Large | $>41,000$ and <255,000 | Boeing 737-700, Airbus A320-200, <br> Embraer E175, Bombardier <br> CRJ-900, etc. |
| Small | $<41,000$ | All single and multi-engine piston <br> aircraft, single engine turboprops <br> and small light business jets |

## Visual Meteorological Condition Separations

- Under visual meteorological conditions, pilots are expected to be responsible for separations
- Data collected at airfields in the United States indicates that VMC separations are $10-15 \%$ below those observed under IMC conditions
- Therefore:
- Runways have more capacity under VMC conditions for the same fleet mix
- Higher runway utilization is possible under VMC conditions
- Runway occupancy times and VMC airspace separations are closer in magnitude


## IUVirginiaTech <br> Invent the Future

## Typical Air Traffic Control Departure-Departure Separations

Same runway departure separations (see JO 7110.126B) - Section 3-9-6

| $*$ <br> A ead <br> Aircraft | Trailing Aircraft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I |  |
| B | 120 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |  |
| C | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |  |
| D | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |  |
| E | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 120 |  |
| F | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |  |
| G | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |  |
| H | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |  |
| I | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |  |

Minimum Separations are in seconds

## Legacy Departure-Departure In-Trail Separations

Typical In-trail Separations (in seconds) for Departing Aircraft on the same Runway. Includes Buffers Applied by ATC.

| Lead <br> Aircraft | Trailing Aircraft |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Superheav <br> $y$ | Heavy | B757 | Large | Small |
| Superheav <br> $y$ | 120 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Heavy | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| B757 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Large | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Small | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |

veparture-departure separations are in seconds

## Example Problem Single Runway Airport



Objectives:

1) Find arrivals-only runway capacity
2) Find departures-only runway capacity
3) Find mixed operations runway capacity (departures with $100 \%$ arrival priority)
4) Construct an arrival-departure diagram (Pareto diagram)

## Problem Definition and Technical Parameters

Determine the saturation capacity of an airport serving three groups of aircraft provided in the table below.

- Assume radar surveillance is available with 20 seconds for the standard deviation of in-trail delivery accuracy error and a probability of violation of $5 \%$.
- Assume the common approach length $\gamma$ to be 12 miles.
- Use the latest CWT arrival-arrival separation criteria
- Use the CWT departure-departure separation criteria

| Aircraft CWT Group | Percent Mix (\%) | Runway Occupancy Time <br> (s) | Typical Approach <br> Speed (knots) from <br> FAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F | 82 | 51 | 132 |
| E | 10 | 54 | 137 |
| B | 8 | 65 | 151 |
| Totals | 100 |  |  |

FAF - Final Approach Fix

## Select the CWT Arrival-Arrival Separations

FOLLOWER

|  |  | FOLLOWER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I |
|  | A |  | 5 NM | 6 NM | 6 NM | 7 NM | 7 NM | 7 NM | 8 NM | 8 NM |
|  | B |  | 3 NM | 4 NM | 4 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM |
|  | C |  |  |  |  | 3.5 NM | 3.5 NM | 3.5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM |
|  | D |  | 3 NM | 4 NM | 4 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM |
|  | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 NM |
|  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\frac{\qquad \delta_{i j}}{\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\text { Minimum } \\
\text { arrival-arrival } \\
\text { separation matrix }
\end{array}\right.}
$$

ROT values are greater than 50 seconds Use 3 nautical mile minimum in-trail separation

| Lead <br> Aircraft | Trailing Aircraft |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | E | F |
| B | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| E | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| F | 3 | 3 | 3 |

Minimum Separations are in nautical miles

Select the CWT Departure-Departure Separations

| $*$ <br> Lead <br> Aircraft | TrailingAircraft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | $H$ | 1 |  |
| B | 120 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |  |
| C | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |  |
| D | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |  |
| E | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 120 |  |
| F | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |  |
| G | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |  |
| H | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |  |
| I | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |  |

## CWT minimum Separations are in seconds <br> No buffers included

| Lead <br> Aircraft | Trailing Aircraft |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | E | F |
| B | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| E | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| F | 60 | 60 | 60 |

Minimum departure separations are in seconds No buffers included

## Determine Aircraft Mix and Probabilities

The following is a probability matrix establishing the chance that an aircraft of type (i) follows aircraft of type (j). We assume random arrivals.

Table 1. Probability Matrix ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ ). Aircraft (i) follows aircraft ( j ).

|  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |
| F | 0.672 | 0.082 | 0.066 |
| E | 0.082 | 0.010 | 0.008 |
| B | 0.066 | 0.008 | 0.006 |

Example:
Group F (lead) and Group F (follower) $0.82 \times 0.82=0.672$

```
Example:
Group F (lead) and Group B (follower)
0.82 x 0.08=0.066
```

Note: verify that $\sum P_{i j}=1.0$

## Compute Headways Between Successive Arrivals

Closing case:

$$
V_{F}=132 \text { knots }
$$

$$
\text { Lead }=\mathrm{F} \text {, Following }=\mathrm{B}
$$

$$
V_{B}=151 \text { knots }
$$

$$
T_{F-B}=\frac{\delta_{F B}}{V_{B}}=\frac{3}{151}=0.0199 \text { hours }
$$

Usually is convenient to express headway in seconds.

$$
T_{F-B}=\frac{\delta_{F-\mathrm{B}}}{V_{B}}=\frac{3}{151} 3600=71.5 \text { seconds }
$$

## Closing Case (apply this case when speeds are the same)

Closing case:

$$
\text { Lead }=F \text {, Following }=F
$$

$$
V_{F}=132 \text { knots }
$$

$$
T_{F-F}=\frac{\delta^{F-\mathrm{F}}}{V_{F}}=\frac{3}{132}=0.0227 \text { hours }
$$

Usually is convenient to express headway in seconds.

$$
T_{F-F}=\frac{\delta_{F-\mathrm{F}}}{V_{F}}=\frac{3}{132} 3600=81.8 \text { seconds }
$$

## Opening Case (Lead is Faster)

## Lead $=\mathrm{B}$, Following $=\mathrm{F}$

$V_{F}=132$ knots
$T_{B-F}=\frac{\delta_{B-F}}{V_{F}}+\gamma\left(\frac{1}{V_{F}}-\frac{1}{V_{B}}\right)$ seconds
$V_{B}=151$ knots
$T_{B-F}=\frac{5}{132}+12\left[\frac{1}{132}-\frac{1}{151}\right]$
$T_{B-F}=177.5$ seconds

## Arrival-Arrival Headway Table (No Buffers)

The following table summarizes the computed headways for all cases when an aircraft of type (i) follows aircraft of type (j). We assume random arrivals.

Table 2. Error-Free headways (in seconds) when aircraft (i) follows aircraft (j).

|  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |
| F | 82 | 79 | 72 |
| E | 94 | 79 | 72 |
| B | 178 | 161 | 72 |

Values in seconds

## Compute the Expected Value of Headway

The expected value of the headway is:
$E\left(T_{i j}\right)=\sum P_{i j} T_{i j}$ for all $i, j$ pairs

|  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |
| F | 82 | 79 | 72 |
| E | 94 | 79 | 72 |
| B | 178 | 161 | 72 |


|  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |
| F | 0.672 | 0.082 | 0.066 |
| E | 0.082 | 0.010 | 0.008 |
| B | 0.066 | 0.008 | 0.006 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(T_{i j}\right)=82(0.672)+79(0.082)+72(0.066)+94(0.082)+79(0.01) \\
& +72(0.008)+178(0.066)+161(0.008)+72(0.006) \\
& E\left(T_{i j}\right)=88.61 \text { seconds } \quad \text { No ATC in-trail separation buffers included }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Buffer Time Calculations

- Opening case calculation example

$$
V_{F}=132 \text { knots }
$$

$$
B i j=\max \left(0, \sigma_{0} q_{v}-\delta_{B-F}\left(\frac{1}{V_{F}}-\frac{1}{V_{B}}\right)\right)
$$

$$
V_{B}=151 \text { knots }
$$

$$
B_{B-F}=\max \left(0,20(1.65)-5\left(\frac{1}{132}-\frac{1}{151}\right) 3600\right)
$$

$$
B_{B-F}=\max (0,15.84)=15.84
$$

## Buffer Time Calculations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B i j=\sigma_{0} q_{v} & \text { Closing case } \\
\operatorname{Bij}=\max \left(0, \sigma_{0} q_{v}-\delta_{B-F}\left(\frac{1}{V_{F}}-\frac{1}{V_{B}}\right)\right) & \text { Opening case }
\end{array}
$$

Table 3. Buffer matrix (in seconds) when aircraft (i) follows aircraft ( j ).

|  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |
| F | 33.00 | 33.00 | 33.00 |
| E | 30.01 | 33.00 | 33.00 |
| B | 15.84 | 20.82 | 33.00 |

Values in seconds

## Arrivals-Only Runway Capacity Analysis

The following table summarizes the computed headways (including the buffer times) for all cases when an aircraft of type (i) follows aircraft of type (j). We assume random arrivals.

Actual headways (in seconds) when aircraft (i) follows aircraft ( $\mathbf{j}$ ).
Table 4. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ matrix (in seconds) when aircraft (i) follows aircraft ( j ).

|  |  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |
| F | 114.8 | 111.8 | 104.5 |
| E | 123.8 | 111.8 | 104.5 |
| B | 193.4 | 181.4 | 104.5 |

Values in seconds

## Expected Value of Headways (Including Buffer Times)

The expected value of the actual headways $E\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)$
is $\mathbf{1 2 0 . 1 4}$ seconds. The arrivals only capacity is,

$$
C_{\text {arrivals }}=\frac{1}{E\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)} \text { vehicles per second }
$$

Using more standard units of capacity (aircraft per hour),
$C_{\text {arrivals }}=\frac{3600}{\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)}=29.96$ arrivals per hour

## Arrivals-Only Runway Capacity

For the single runway example the arrivals-only capacity is,

$$
C_{\text {arrivals }}=\frac{3600}{120.14}=29.96 \text { aircraft arrivals per hour }
$$

Note: this value is typical for US airports when runways are operated in Instrument Meteorological Conditions (IMC)

When operating in Visual Meteorological Conditions (VMC), the separations are typically reduced by $10-12 \%$ resulting in higher runway capacity.

## Analysis of Runway Gaps

- Gaps can be studied for all nine possible arrival instances
- For example, if a CWT class B aircraft is followed by a CWT class F, there is a headway of 193 seconds between two successive arrivals.
- This leaves a large gap that be exploited by air traffic controllers to handle a few departures on the same runway.

$$
E\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right) \geq E\left(\frac{\delta}{V_{j}}\right)+E\left(R O T_{i}\right)+(n-1) E\left(\varepsilon_{i j}\right)+E(\tau)
$$

|  |  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |
| F | 114.8 | 111.8 | 104.5 |
| E | 123.8 | 111.8 | 104.5 |
| B | 193.4 | 181.4 | 104.5 |

## Intermediate Calculations

Calculation of expected value:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\frac{\delta}{V_{j}}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{3} P_{j}\left(\frac{\delta}{V_{j}}\right) \\
& E\left(\frac{\delta}{V_{j}}\right)=P_{B}\left(\frac{\delta}{V_{B}}\right)+P_{E}\left(\frac{\delta}{V_{E}}\right)+P_{F}\left(\frac{\delta}{V_{F}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
E\left(\frac{\delta}{V_{j}}\right)=53.8
$$

## Intermediate Calculations

| - Calculation of $E\left(R O T_{j}\right)$ | Expected <br> value of <br> Runway <br> Occupanc <br> y Time <br> (ROT) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $E\left(R O T_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} P_{j}\left(R O T_{j}\right)$ |  |


|  | $\bar{F}^{-r}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ROT (s) | 51 | 54 | 65 |
| Percent Mix (\%) | 82 | 10 | 8 |

$E\left(R O T_{j}\right)=52.42$ seconds

## [1]VirginiaTech <br> Invent the Future

## Intermediate Calculations

- Calculation of $E\left(\varepsilon_{i j}\right)$


## This calculates the expected value between successive departures

| Departure-Departure Separation Matrix with Buffers (seconds) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |  |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |
| F | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| E | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| B | 130 | 130 | 130 |


|  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |
| F | 0.672 | 0.082 | 0.066 |
| E | 0.082 | 0.010 | 0.008 |
| B | 0.066 | 0.008 | 0.006 |

$E\left(\varepsilon_{i j}\right)=79.84$ seconds

## Computation of Minimum Gaps

$E\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right) \geq 53.8+52.4+(n-1) 79.8+10$ seconds
$E\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right) \geq 53.8+52.4+10+79.8 n-79.8$ seconds
$E\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right) \geq 36.4+78 n$ seconds

For $n=1$ (one departure between arrivals) we need,
$E\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)_{n=1} \geq 116.2$ seconds
For $n=2$ (two departures between arrivals) we need,
$E\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)_{n=2} \geq 181.02$ seconds

## Computation of Minimum Gaps

For $n=3$ (three departures between arrivals) we need,
$E\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)_{n=3} \geq 245.8$ seconds
For $n=4$ (four departures between arrivals) we need, $E\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)_{n=4} \geq 310.62$ seconds and so.

We need to compare the values stated in with values $\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)$ against the gaps needed to schedule $n$ departures per arrival gap instance.

## Assess Gaps that Allow Departures

Required Gaps $\mathrm{n}=1$ departure
$E\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)>=116$ seconds
$\mathrm{n}=2$ departures
$E\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)>=181$ seconds
n=3 departures
$E\left(T_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)>=246$ seconds
Arrival-arrival gap between F class aircraft followed by F class is too small

Arrival-arrival gap between B class aircraft followed by F class allows two departures

Table 4. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ matrix (in seconds) when aircraft (i) follows aircraft ( j ).

|  |  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |
| F | 114.8 | 111.8 | 104.5 |
| E | 123.8 | 111.8 | 104.5 |
| B | 193.4 | 181.4 | 104.5 |

Values in seconds

## Gap Analysis

The following table summarizes the number of departures possible when an aircraft of type (i) follows aircraft of type (j). We assume random arrivals.

Table 5. Number of departures per arrival gap when aircraft (i) follows aircraft (j).

|  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead <br> (column 1) | F | E | B |
| F | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 2 | 2 | 0 |

Cells with zeros, imply the arrival-arrival gaps are too short to permit a departure

## Interpretation of Gap Analysis Results

- One departure (on average) can be scheduled between a class E aircraft followed by a class $F$ aircraft.
- Note that a class E-class F arrival sequence provides a gap of 123.8 seconds
- Since 116.2 seconds are needed to schedule a departure (expected value for all types of operations)
- One departure per gap (class E followed by class F) is possible
- Other cells are computed in a similar fashion.

|  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead <br> (column 1) | F | E | B |
| F | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 2 | 2 | 0 |

## Analysis of Arrival Gaps

- Now we determine how many times each gap occurs during the period of interest? (say one hour)
- From our analysis of arrivals only, we determined that on the average hour 29.92 arrivals could be processed at the runway. Since two successive arrivals are needed to form a gap, we can infer that an average of 28.92 gaps are present in one hour.
- The probability of each one of the nine arrival sequences is known and has been calculated before.


## Analysis of Arrival Gaps

- Consider the instance of a leading class B aircraft followed by a class F aircraft
- $6.6 \%$ of the time this instance occurs at the airport
- There are 28.92 departure gaps (DG) per hour so we can estimate the expected number of hourly departures per arrival instance ( $E D_{B-F}$ )
$E D_{B-\mathrm{F}}=T G\left(P_{B-F}\right)\left(D G_{B-F}\right)$

|  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |
| F | 0.672 | 0.082 | 0.066 |
| E | 0.082 | 0.010 | 0.008 |
| B | 0.066 | 0.008 | 0.006 |

where: $T G$ is the total number of gaps per hour, $P_{B-\mathrm{F}}$ is the probability that a class B aircraft is followed by a class F aircraft, and $D G_{B-\mathrm{F}}$ is the number of departures per gap for each instance (numbers in Table 5).

## Finding Expected Departures per Arrival Gap

Expected departures per hour for gaps when class B aircraft is followed by another class B aircraft

|  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |
| F | 0.672 | 0.082 | 0.066 |
| E | 0.082 | 0.010 | 0.008 |
| B | 0.066 | 0.008 | 0.006 |

$E D_{B-\text { в }}=T G\left(P B_{B-B}\right)\left(D G_{B-B}\right)$
$E D_{B-\mathrm{B}}=28.92(0.006)(0)=0$

Expected departures per hour for gaps when class E aircraft is followed by another class F aircraft

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E D_{E-\mathrm{F}}=T G\left(P B_{E-F}\right)\left(D G_{E-F}\right) \\
& E D_{E-\mathrm{F}}=28.92(0.082)(1)=2.38
\end{aligned}
$$



## Departures with Arrival Priority

Table 6 summarizes the number of departures per hour per instance.
Table 6. Expected departures per hour per arrival instance when aircraft (i) follows aircraft ( $\mathbf{j}$ ).

|  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |
| F | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| \|E | 2.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| B | 3.80 | 0.46 | 0.00 |

Total departures per hour $=\mathbf{6 . 6 4}$ departures per hour

## Estimating Hourly Mixed Operations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{\text {arrivals }}=\frac{3600}{120.14}=29.92 \text { arrivals per hour } \\
& C_{\text {departures }}=6.64 \text { departures per hour with } 100 \% \text { arrival priority }
\end{aligned}
$$

- The results indicate that a single runway can process 29.92 arrivals per hour
- At the same time, during the same hour, the runway can process 6.64 departures per hour using the natural gaps left by the arrivals


## Departures-Only Runway Capacity

If only departures are processed at this runway (no arrivals), the departures only capacity is the reciprocal of the departure headway (79.8 seconds),

$$
C_{d e p-N A}=\frac{3600}{79.8}=45.1 \text { departures per hour with no arrivals }
$$

- We now define a capacity diagram to display all three hourly capacity results in a single diagram.
- These diagrams represent a Pareto frontier of arrivals and departures.
- The airport can be operated inside the Pareto boundary.


## Arrival-Departure Capacity Diagram (Pareto Frontier)
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## invent the Future <br> Excel Spreadsheet to Estimate Single Runway Capacity
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Excel Spreadsheet to Estimate
Single Runway Capacity

| Error Free Separation Matrix (Tij) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |  |  |  | Expected Value |
| F | 82 | 79 | 72 |  |  |  | E(Tij) |
| E | 94 | 79 | 72 |  |  |  | 88.61 |
| B | 178 | 161 | 72 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pij Matrix |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |  |  |  | Sum of Pij |
| F | 0.672 | 0.082 | 0.066 |  |  |  | 0.820 |
| E | 0.082 | 0.010 | 0.008 |  |  |  | 0.100 |
| B | 0.066 | 0.008 | 0.006 |  |  |  | 0.080 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.000 |
| Buffer Matrix (Bij) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |  |  |  | Expected Value |
| F | 33.00 | 33.00 | 33.00 |  |  |  | B(Tij) |
| E | 30.01 | 33.00 | 33.00 |  |  |  | 31.53 |
| B | 15.84 | 20.82 | 33.00 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Excel Spreadsheet to Estimate Single Runway Capacity


## Excel Spreadsheet to Estimate Single Runway Capacity



Excel Spreadsheet to Estimate Single Runway Capacity

| Summary for Arrival - Departure Diagram |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrivals | Departures |  | Operation Pattern |  |  |
| 29.96 |  | 0 | Arrivals 0 |  | Baseline |
| 29.96 |  | 6.64 | 100\% Ar | ls + | Baseline |
| 23.46 |  | 24.12 |  |  | Comp 2 |
| 0 |  | 45.09 | Departur | Only | Baseline |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computations Base |  | Progr | Comp 2 | + |  |
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Finding Additional Points on the Pareto Diagram



## Finding Additional Points on Pareto Frontier

- Use the Multiplier cell in the Comp 2 sheet of the Excel spreadsheet provided
- The Multiplier factor multiplies the original separation matrix $\left(\delta_{i j}\right)$ to increase the arrival gaps between successive arrivals
- Large gaps produce more chances for departures
- Use iterations to produce multiple points along the arrival-capacity diagram (Pareto frontier)



## Finding Additional Points on Pareto Frontier

| Minimum Separation Matrix (nm) |  | Arrivals-Arrivals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |  |  |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |  |
| F | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
| E | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
| B | 5 | 5 | 3 |  |

Original minimum separation matrix
24.12 departures per hour

Multiplier = 1.4
increases separation by $40 \%$ for each cell in sheet "Compuations Base"

## Multiplier

| Minimum Separation Matrix (nm) |  | Arrivals-Arrivals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trailing Aircraft (Header Columns) |  |  |  |
| Lead (column 1) | F | E | B |  |
| F | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |  |
| E | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |  |
| B | 7 | 7 | 4.2 |  |

Modified separation matrix Multiplier = 1.4
24.12 departures per hour

## Estimating Runway Capacity for More than One Runway

- If runway operations are independent you can estimate arrival and departure saturation capacities for each runway independently
- If the operations on runways are dependent estimate the runway occupancy times (both for arrivals and departures) very carefully and establish a logical order of operations on the runways.


## VirginiaTech

## Example 2 - Charlotte-Douglas Intl. Airport (Three Runways Operative)

## Operational Conditions

1) Runways 36L and 36R are used for departures
2) Runway 36 C is used for departures
3) Parallel runway separation $>4,300 \mathrm{ft}$.
4) Airport surveillance radar and ADS-B
5) Aircraft mix
a) Class C-3\%
b) Class F- $47 \%$
c) Class G-45\%
d) Class $\mathbf{H}-5 \%$
6) Approach speeds
a) Class C-150 knots
b) Class F- 140 knots
c) Class G-134 knots
d) Class H-127 knots
7) Runway occupancy times
a) Class C-60 seconds
b) Class F- 50 seconds
c) Class G-48 seconds
d) Class H-47 seconds
8) Common approach length -10 nm
9) In-trail delivery error standard deviation -18 s.
10) Consolidated Wake Turbulence separations
11) 10-second clear to roll time
12) 2.5 nm minimum radar separation


## CWT Arrival-Arrival Separations

|  |  | FOLLOWER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I |
|  | A |  | 5 NM | 6 NM | 6 NM | 7 NM | 7 NM | 7 NM | 8 NM | 8 NM |
|  | B |  | 3 NM | 4 NM | 4 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM |
|  | C |  |  |  |  | 3.5 NM | 3.5 NM | 3.5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM |
|  | D |  | 3 NM | 4 NM | 4 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM | 5 NM |
|  | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 NM |
|  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Minimum Separations are in nautical miles

## Results Using Single Runway Excel File
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## CLT Runway Capacity (Segregated Operations)



CLT Runway Capacity : Two Departure Runways, One
49.3 departures
per hour
 Arrival Runway
49.3 departures per hour



## CLT Runway Capacity : Mixed Operations on Runways 36R and 36C


35.5 arrivals per hour


Runway 36C
24 departures/hr
24 arrivals/hr
Runway 36R
24 departures/hr
24 arrivals/hr
Runway 36CL
Total arrivals operations 83 arrivals/hr

Total departure operations 48 departures/hr

131 operations per hour
35.5 arrivals/hr

## CLT Runway Capacity: Comparison of Two Segregated Operational Modes


https://www.faa.gov/sites/faa.gov/files/airports/ planning_capacity/profiles/CLT-Airport-Capacity-Profile-2015.pdf

Time-space analysis provides a quick and reliable method to estimate runway capacity

FAA analysis for CLT airport (North flow operations):

The capacity rate range in North flow Instrument conditions is currently 135-140 operations per hour.

Reduced separation (2.5 NM) between arrivals is authorized for instrument approaches to Runways 36C, 36L, and 36R at CLT.

## Airports without Air Traffic Control Tower

- Existing airports without a control tower have small runway saturation capacities in Instrument Meteorological Conditions (IMC) conditions (5-6 arrivals per hour)
- These airports require large headways (10-12 minutes) between aircraft because ATC cannot "see" the aircraft in radar (ATC applies procedural separations)
- New technologies such as Automated Depedance Surveyance mode B (ADS-B) help ATC to reduce in-trail separations at non-towered airports


## Uncontrolled Airport Scenario
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## Uncontrolled Airport Scenario (Virginia Tech Airport)



Source: flightradar24.com

## IIVirginiaTech <br> invent the Future

## Uncontrolled Airport Scenario (Virginia Tech Airport)



Source: flightradar24.com

Arrival capacity to Virginia Tech airport in bad weather (IMC conditions) is ~7.5 per hour


## Summary

- The saturation capacity of an airport depends on the runway configuration
- The saturation capacity during VMC conditions is higher (typically $\mathbf{5 - 1 0 \%}$ higher) compared to IMC conditions (due to shorter separation minima)
- The variation in technical parameters such as $\gamma$ and $\delta$ affects the results of saturation capacity
- The estimation of departures with $100 \%$ arrival priority in our analysis is conservative
- The time-space analysis does not provide with delay results (use deterministic queueing theory or FAAAC 150/5060 to estimate delay)

