Approach Light Systems

CEE 4674 – Airport Planning and Design

Dr. Antonio A. Trani Professor of Civil Engineering Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

Blacksburg, Virginia 2015

Purpose

- Provide guidance in the transition from instrument to visual conditions
- Very important to many airports to provide reliable service to them
- Various types of system shave been developed over the past 60 years

Types of Systems and Deployment

Table 1.2. Approach Light Systems and Installations in the United States. Source: FAA Airport and Runway Facilities Directory (February 2008).

Approach	Name of the Approach Light System	Number of Systems	Number of Airports
Light		Installed at U.S.	with ALS System
System (ALS)		Airports	
ALSF-1	High Intensity Approach Light Systems with	129	84
	Sequenced Flashing Lights (Configuration 1)		
ALSF-2	High Intensity Approach Light Systems with	154	99
	Sequenced Flashing Lights (Configuration 2)		
LDIN	Lead-in Lighting System	21	19
MALS	Medium Intensity Light System	66	61
MALSF	Medium Intensity Light System with Flashers	66	64
MALSR	Medium Intensity Light System with Runway	823	633
	Alignment Indicator Lights		
ODALS	Omni-directional Approach Lights	98	94
SALS	Short Approach Light System	22	18
SSALS	Simplified Short Approach Light System	4	4
SSALR	Simplified Short Approach Light System with	11	9
	Runway Alignment Indicator Lights		
SSALSF	Simplified Short Approach Light System with	4	3
	Sequenced Flashers		
Total		1,398	
Systems			





FAA Guidance (AC 5300-13 App. 16)

Runway	Lights	< 3/4 Statute	< 1 Statute	1 Statute	> 1 Statute Mile	Circling
Design		Mile	Mile	Mile		
Criteria						
	Runway	HIRL / MIRL	HIRL / MIRL	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Edge Lights					
- <u></u>	Approach	MALSR,	Recommended	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Lights	SSALR, or				
Pr tr	_	ALSF				
	Pupuyay	HIDI / MIDI	HIDI / MIDI	MIDI /I IDI	MIDI /I IDI	N/A
1 2	Edge Lights	THRE / WHRE	THRE / WHRE	WIIKL/LIKL	MIKL/LIKL	N/A
/ith dar	Edge Eights					
5 1 0 N						
V-J tice	Approach	ODALS,	ODALS,	Recommende	Recommended	N/A
8 2 5 A	Lights	MALS,	MALS,	d		
4 A 2	U	SSALS	SSALS			
	Runway	HIRL / MIRL	HIRL / MIRL	MIRL / LIRL	MIRL / LIRL	MIRL /
	Edge Lights					LIRL
đ						(Required
080						only for
<u>p</u>						night
Ap						minima)
u o	Approach	MALSR,	ODALS,	Recommende	Recommended	Not
isi	Lights	SSALR,	MALS,	d	(ODALS,	Required
rec		or ALSF	SSALS, SALS	(ODALS,	MALS, SSALS,	
ਦ		Required		MALS,	SALS)	
Nor				SSALS,		
Ĩ				SALS)		

Table 1.1 Federal Aviation Administration Runway Design Criteria for Approach Light Systems.

HIRL = High Intensity Runway Lights, MIRL = Medium Intensity Runway Lights, Others explained in Table 1.2.



Frangibility Issues

- Ideally, ALS system mounts should be frangible
- Several types of mounts:
 - Aluminum
 - Fiberglass (tubes)
 - Hybrid mounts (Frangible + Non-frangible)

Why Do We Need Frangibility?

- Accidents occur (420 overruns and undershots in 30 years)
- 110 accidents hit ALS lights
- Air Europa aircraft accident







Approach Lights Mounted on Hybrid Supports (Frangible and Non-Frangible)



Approach Lights Mounted on Hybrid Supports (Frangible Fiberglass Tubular Poles and Non-Frangible Wooden Pier Structure)

