Assignment 6: Linear Programming

## Solution

## Problem 1

In the construction of a new airport a company requires a minimum of 600,000 of sand and gravel mixture. The final sand/gravel mixture must contain no less than $50.8 \%$ ( $305,000 \mathrm{cu}$. meters) of sand (fine aggregate) and no more than $53.3 \%$ ( $320,000 \mathrm{cu}$. meters) of gravel (coarse aggregate).
The gravel and sand materials can be obtained from three sites: 1) Longmont, b) Lyons, and c) Altona. Table 1 shows the proportions of sand and gravel from each site. Note that some unusable material is also included in the excavation process. Because each site is also used in other construction jobs, the maximum amounts of materials excavated from each site are limited to the following: a) 220,000 cu. meters for Longmont, 276,000 cu. meters for Lyons, and 256,000 cu. meters for Altona.

Table 1. Proportions of Sand and Gravel from Three Collection Sites.

| Site | Proportion of Sand <br> $(\%)$ | Proportion of Gravel <br> (\%) | Proportion of <br> Unusable Material <br> (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Longmont | 46 | 50 | 4 |
| Lyons | 47 | 48 | 5 |
| Altona | 46 | 49 | 5 |

The costs of collection and transportation of a cubic meter of material are: a) $\$ 103$ for Longmont, $\$ 110$ for Lyons, and $\$ 107$ for Altona.

Setup the problem as a linear programming problem. The objective is to minimize the cost of producing the concrete for the airport project.

Use the Simplex method to setup by hand the first two tableaus of the problem. For each table indicate the Basic Variables, Non-Basic Variables and the value of the objective function (Z).

## Initial steps and problem setup (not the first tableau yet)

a) Add slack variables for each <= type constraint equation
b) Add a negative slack and an artificial variable for each >= constraint
c) Add a large positive number (Big M) to the artificial variables in the objective function.

The artificial variables are identified in boldface in the problem setup.
Let $X_{1}, X_{2}$, and $X_{3}$ be the amounts of material to be excavated from each site.

## Step 1 - Problem formulation

Objective Function (Minimize)
$-Z+103 X_{1}+110 X_{2}+107 X_{3}+M X_{5}+M X_{8}=0$

## Constraint Equations

$0.46 X_{1}+0.47 X_{2}+0.46 X_{3}-X_{4}+X_{5}=305000$
$0.50 X_{1}+0.48 X_{2}+0.49 X_{3}+X_{6}=320000$
$0.96 X_{1}+0.95 X_{2}+0.95 X_{3}-X_{7}+X_{8}=600000$
$X_{1}+X_{9}=220000$
$X_{2}+X_{10}=270000$
$X_{3}+X_{11}=256000$
The last three constraint equations limit the maximum excavation from each site.

## Step 2 - Setup the Initial Tableau

Perform row operations in two constraint equations to eliminate the $M$ coefficient from the objective function for two artificial variables $\mathbf{X}_{5}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{8}$. After this step the initial tableau is completed (see below).

## Initial Tableau

| BV | z | X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 | X5 | X6 | X7 | X8 | X9 | X10 | X11 | RHS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z | -1 | $-1.42 \mathrm{M}+103$ | -1.42M+110 | $-1.41 \mathrm{M}+107$ |  |  |  | M |  |  |  |  | -905000M |
| X5 |  | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.46 | -1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 305000 |
| X6 |  | 0.5 | 0.48 | 0.49 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 320000 |
| X8 |  | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |  | -1 | 1 |  |  |  | 600000 |
| X9 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 220000 |
| X10 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 270000 |
| X11 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 256000 |

Basic Variables are: $X_{5}, X_{6}, X_{8}, X_{9}, X_{10}$ and $X_{11}$. Non-basic variables are: $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}$, and $X_{7}$.
$X_{1}$ enters the basis ( $B V$ set) and $X_{9}$ leaves,

## Second Tableau

| BV | z | X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 | X5 | X6 | X7 | X8 | X9 | X10 | X11 | RHS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z | -1 | 0 | -1.42M+110 | -1.41M+107 | M |  |  | M |  | 1.42M-103 |  |  | -592600M-222660000 |
| X5 |  | 0 | 0.47 | 0.46 | -1 | 1 |  |  |  | -0.46 |  |  | 203800 |
| X6 |  | 0 | 0.48 | 0.49 |  |  | 1 |  |  | -0.5 |  |  | 210000 |
| X8 |  | 0 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |  | -1 | 1 | -0.96 |  |  | 388800 |
| X1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 220000 |
| X10 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 270000 |
| X11 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 256000 |

Basic Variables are: $\mathrm{X}_{5}, \mathrm{X}_{6}, \mathrm{X}_{8}, \mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{10}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{11}$. Non-basic variables are: $\mathrm{X}_{9}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{X}_{3}, \mathrm{X}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{X}_{7}$.

Find the optimal solution that minimizes the cost using Excel Solver. Clearly state the values of the decision variables and the value of the objective function in the optimal solution.

| Concrete Mix Problem (Three Sites) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decision Variables are amounts collected from each site |  |  |  | Proportions |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Sand | Gravel | Maximum |
| x1 | 124160 |  | Longmont | 0.46 | 0.5 | 220000 |
| x2 | 276000 |  | Lyons | 0.47 | 0.48 | 276000 |
| x3 | 256000 |  | Altona | 0.46 | 0.49 | 256000 |
| Total excavated | 656160 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Objective Function |  |  |  | Totals needed |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Sand | Gravel |  |
| $103 * x 1+110 * x 2+107 * x 3$ | 70540480 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Constraint Equations | Formula |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0.46 \times 1+0.47 \times 2+0.46 \times 3>=305000$ | $\begin{array}{r} 304593.6>= \\ 320000<= \end{array}$ |  | 305000 Sand constraint |  |  |  |
| $0.5 \times 1+0.48 * \times 2+0.49 * \times 3<=320000$ |  |  | 320000 | Gravel constraint |  |  |
| $0.95 \mathrm{X} 1+0.96 * \times 2+0.95 * \times 3>=600000$ | 624593.6 |  | 600000 | Total production (account | ts for material | not used at e |
| $\mathrm{x} 1<220000$ | 124160 | <= | 220000 | Maximum excavation from | m Longmont |  |
| $x 2<276000$ | 276000 | <= | 276000 | Maximum excavation from | m Lyons |  |
| x3<256000 | 256000 | <= | 256000 | Maximum excavation from | m Altona |  |

Figure 1. Optimal solution found by Excel Solver. Note that the optimal solution shows a small shortage (deficit of 6 tons) compared to the Desired 305,000 cubic meters of sand material.

## Problem 2

A company develops the following Linear Programming problem to minimize the cost of producing two types of commonly used doubler plates used in the construction industry. The objective of the problem is to maximize the profit for the company (in dollars per production batch). The company would like to maximize the profit in solving this problem.

Objective $\quad$ Maximize $Z=105 X_{1}+120 X_{2}$
Subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}_{2}+1.7 \mathrm{X}_{1}<=1300 \\
& -1.5 \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}<=305 \\
& 3 \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}<=1800
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}>=0 \quad$ (non-negativity conditions)

For each task below, use screen captures to show your work. Show the formulas of the cells to make out task simpler in grading. Also, show the Solver panel to help in grading.

## Task 1

Solve the problem graphically. State the solution found for the two decision variables. State the value of the objective function for the optimal solution found. In the graphical solution, label the corner points and state the value of the objective function at each corner point.

Figure 2 shows a graphical solution to the problem.


Figure 2. Graphical solution to the problem. Optimal solution is: $x 1=310.9$ and $x 2=771.4$. The value of the objective function at the optimal point is $\$ 125,217.2$ (point C3 in the Figure).

The corner points (points to be investigated) are as follows:
C1: $\mathrm{Z}=0$
C2: $Z=105^{*} 0+120^{*} 305=36,600$

C3: $Z=105 * 310.9+120 * 771.4=125,217.2$
$C 4: Z=105 * 384.6+120 * 646.2=117,927$
$C 5: Z=105 * 300+120 * 0=31,500$
Corner point 3 offers the highest value of $Z$ (maximizes the value).

## Task 2

Solve the problem manually using the Simplex Method explained in class. Show all your steps and tableaus. Indicate the Basic Variables (BV) and the Non-Basic Variables (NBV) in every tableau. Also highlight the value of the objective function in every tableau.


First tableau: BV: X3 X4 X5 NBV: X1 X2
Second tableau: X4 leaves BV New BV: X2
Third tableau: X3 leaves BV New BV: X1

## Task 3

Solve the problem using Excel Solver. State the solution found by Excel for the two decision variables.

| Optimization Problem for company that produces doublers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decision Variables |  |  |  |  |
| x1 | 310.9 |  | Doublers of t | type A |
| x2 | 771.4 |  | Doublers of t | type B |
| Objective Function |  |  |  |  |
| $105 \mathrm{x} 1+120 \mathrm{x} 2$ | 217.19 |  |  |  |
| Constraint Equations |  |  |  |  |
| Formula |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x} 2+1.7 \times 1<1300$ | 1300 |  | 1300 |  |
| $(-1.5) \times 1+x 2<305$ | 305 | <= | 305 |  |
| $3 \times 1+x 2<1800$ | 1704.2 | <= | 1800 |  |

State the value of the objective function for the optimal solution found. Compare the Excel Solver solution Optimal solution is: $\mathrm{x} 1=310.9$ and $\mathrm{x} 2=771.4$. The value of the objective function at the optimal point is \$125,217.2.


## Task 3

Since number of doublers to be produced needs to be an integer solution, solve the problem with Excel to obtain an integer solution. State the value of the objective function for the optimal solution found.


Figure 3. Integer solution to the problem. $\mathrm{X1}=310$ and $X 2=770$ units.

## Problem 3

Solve the lake pollution control problem described in class with the following attributes:

| Pollution Source | Loading (kg/year) | Unit Cost of Removal (\$/kg) | Minimum Removal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| River A | 18,700 | 32 | 8,000 |
| River B | 19,400 | 34 | 7,500 |
| River C | 23,500 | 33 | $1 / 2$ of the quantity removed from River <br> B |
| Airport | 25,600 | 48 | $1 / 2$ of the quantity removed from River |
| City | 34,300 | 35 with treatment plant | $1 / 2$ of City's original loading |
| Totals | 121,500 |  |  |

## Task 1:

Formulate the problem as a linear programming problem to minimize the cost of pollution removal.

## Task 2:

Solve the water pollution control problem if the total desired pollution removal is $60,000 \mathrm{~kg}$. In solving the new problem, assume the city invested in new pollution treatment plant at a cost of $\$ 30,000,000$. Find out the total cost of pollution removal for this task.

| 4 | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 | RiverA: x1 | 8000 |  |
| 3 | RiverB: $\times 2$ | 7500 |  |
| 4 | RiverC: $x 3$ | 23350 |  |
| 5 | Airport: $\times 4$ | 4000 |  |
| 6 | City: x5 | 17150 |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |
| 8 | $32 \times 1+34 \times 2+33 \times 3+48 \times 4+110 \times 5$ | 3360050 |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |
| 10 | $x 1>=8000$ | 8000 | 8000 |
| 11 | $x 2>=7500$ | 7500 | 7500 |
| 12 | $x 3>=0.5 * x 2$ | 23350 | 3750 |
| 13 | $x 4>=0.5^{*} x 1$ | 4000 | 4000 |
| 14 | $x 5>=0.5 * 34300$ | 17150 | 17150 |
| 15 | $x 1+x 2+x 3+x 4+x 5=60000$ | 60000 | 60000 |
| 16 | $\mathrm{x} 1<=18700$ | 8000 | 18700 |
| 17 | $\mathrm{x} 2<=19400$ | 7500 | 19400 |
| 18 | $x 3<=23500$ | 23350 | 23500 |
| 19 | $\mathrm{x} 4<=25600$ | 4000 | 25600 |
| 20 | $x 5<=34300$ | 17150 | 34300 |


| 4 | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 | RiverA: x1 | 8000 |  |
| 3 | RiverB: $x 2$ | 7500 |  |
| 4 | RiverC: x3 | 23350 |  |
| 5 | Airport: x4 | 4000 |  |
| 6 | City: x5 | 17150 |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |
| 8 | $32 \times 1+34 \times 2+33 \times 3+48 \times 4+35 \times 5$ | 2073800 |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |
| 10 | $x 1>=8000$ | 8000 | 8000 |
| 11 | $x 2>=7500$ | 7500 | 7500 |
| 12 | $x 3>=0.5 * x 2$ | 23350 | 3750 |
| 13 | $x 4>=0.5 * x 1$ | 4000 | 4000 |
| 14 | $x 5>=0.5 * 34300$ | 17150 | 17150 |
| 15 | $x 1+x 2+x 3+x 4+x 5=60000$ | 60000 | 60000 |
| 16 | $\mathrm{x} 1<=18700$ | 8000 | 18700 |
| 17 | $\mathrm{x} 2<=19400$ | 7500 | 19400 |
| 18 | $\mathrm{x} 3<=23500$ | 23350 | 23500 |
| 19 | $\mathrm{x} 4<=25600$ | 4000 | 25600 |
| 20 | x5<=34300 | 17150 | 34300 |

## Task 3:

Assume the treatment plant life is 50 years. Estimate if the construction of such a facility is justified by comparing the solution of removal costs over the 50 -year life cycle.

In fifty years we can save: $50^{*}(3360050-273800)=\$ 64,312,500$
Investment of treatment plant: $\$ 30,000,000<\$ 64,312,500$
The construction of such a facility is justified.

