## Assignment 3: Linear Programming

Solution
Instructor: Trani

## Problem 1

Modify the water management pollution control problem described in the class notes and explained in class. New removal costs are presented in Table 1.
Table 1. Removal Costs and Pollution Values for Water Pollution Control Problem.

| Source | Removal Cost (\$/kg) | Pollution to Lake (kg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River A | 146 | 27,500 |
| River B | 145 | 21,000 |
| River C | 149 | 24,500 |
| City | 215 | 13,200 |
| Airport | 203 | 18,900 |

Assume that under a new water mandate by EPA we would like to remove at least $58,000 \mathrm{~kg}$. of the baseline pollution going into the lake. Moreover, airport and city managers want to participate in the pollution removal program by removing at least $60 \%$ of their baseline pollution allocations per year. The pollution processing plants at all three rivers need to remove at least a fifth of their pollutants as a minimum according to a new environmental law.
a) Formulate the problem as a linear programming problem. Solve the new problem using Excel Solver and state the optimal cost.

The airport manager would like to invest in a deicing fluid system able to recycle $60 \%$ of the pollutants produced by the airport. The new plant is expected to cost $\$ 20,000,000$ and last for at least 15 years.
b) Using principles of engineering economics and Excel, calculate the yearly payments from the airport authority to a bank to buy the recycling system and pay it off at the end of 15 years. Assume the bank charges $5 \%$ yearly over the loan period.
c) I assume that airport operations increase at a rate of $2 \%$ per year for the next 15 years. Is the investment in the recycling plant? Comment.

## Problem 2

You are in charge of a civil engineering construction company that makes concrete for various highway projects in the State of North Carolina. Your company has various sites across the state to take sand and gravel materials necessary to make a concrete mix. For a construction job near greensboro there are two sites to extract sand and gravel raw materials: a) Sandy Ridge and b) Triad Park. Due to variations in the soil properties at each site, the raw material from Sandy Ridge produces 35\% sand and 65\% gravel. Triad Park produces 48\% sand and $52 \%$ gravel.

The construction job in Greensboro requires a minimum of 36,500 cubic meters of sand and gravel mix. The pavement design engineer requires a minimum of 14,900 cubic meters of sand and no more than 19,000 cubic meters of gravel in making the concrete mix for this highway job. The unit delivery costs (includes the cost or raw materials and the hauling costs) are $\$ 745$ and $\$ 820$ per cubic meter from Sandy Ridge and Triad Park, respectively.

For each item below, use screen captures to show me how is that the analysis is done.
a) Formulate this problem as a linear programming problem. Clearly state the objective function and the constraint equations of the problem.

## $x_{1}=$ amount of material from Sandy Ridge <br> $x_{2}=$ amount of material from Triad Park

Objective function
Minimize $Z=745 x_{1}+820 x_{2}$
Constraints
$0.35 x_{1}+0.48 x_{2}>14900$
$0.65 x_{1}+0.52 x_{2}<19000$
$x_{1}+x_{2}>36500$
b) Solve the problem graphically. Plot the lines of constant values of the objective function and show the optimal solution in your plot.


Figure 1. Constraint Equations.


Figure 2. Detail of Feasible Region.
The optimal solution to minimize the value of $Z$ is:
$x_{1}=153.85 \mathrm{cu}$. meters of material from Sandy Ridge
$x_{2}=36,346.15 \mathrm{cu}$. meters of material from Triad Park
c) Setup and solve the problem using Excel Solver.


| Optimization Problem for Concrete Mixing |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decision Variables |  |  |  |  |
| x1 | 153.846154 |  | Material from | Sandy Ridge |
| $\times 2$ | 36346.1538 |  | Material from | Triad Park |
| Objective Function |  |  |  |  |
| $745 \times 1+820 \times 2$ | 29918461.5 |  |  |  |
| Constraint Equations |  |  |  |  |
|  | Formula |  |  |  |
| $0.35 \times 1+0.48 \times 2>=14900$ | 17500 | $>=$ | 14900 |  |
| $0.65 \times 1+0.52 \times 2<=19000$ | 19000 | <= | 19000 |  |
| x1 ${ }^{\text {x }}$ 2 2 > $=36500$ | 36500 |  | 36500 |  |
| $\times 1>=0$ | 153.846154 |  | 0 |  |
| $\times 2>=0$ | 36346.1538 |  | 0 |  |

Figure 3. Verification of Optimal Solution Using Solver.

## Problem 3

A colleague of yours started solving a linear programming. She created the following feasible region plot for this problem.


Figure 4. Revenue Production for ACME Concrete Company. Units of Axes are Metric Tons.

The ACME company makes $\$ 1600$ for every metric ton of concrete mix of type A delivered. The company makes $\$ 1735$ for every metric ton of concrete mix of type $B$ delivered.
a) Formulate this optimization problem to maximize the revenue for the ACME company. Write down the objective function and the constraints equations.

Let $\begin{aligned} & x_{1}=\text { be the amount produced of type } A \\ & x_{2}=\text { be the amount produced of type } B\end{aligned}$
$x_{2}=$ be the amount produced of type B
Objective function
Maximize $Z=1600 x_{1}+1735 x_{2}$
Constraints
$-3 / 4 x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 500$
$2 / 3 x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 1,067$
$3 x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 2700$

Transform the problem to canonical form by adding slack variables to change inequality constraints to equality constraints.
$Z-1600 x_{1}-1735 x_{2}=0$
$-3 / 4 x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=500$
$2 / 3 x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{4}=1,067$
$3 x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{5}=2700$
b) Create the first three tables of the Simplex method to solve the problem.

Table 1. Initial Table of the Problem. Current Solution is: $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 500 & 1067 \text { 2700]. Basic variables are }\end{array}\right.$ $x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}$. Non-basic variables (i.e., those that are zero in the solution) are $x_{1}, x_{2}$.

| Basic <br> Variable | $\mathbf{Z}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | RHS |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | -1600 | -1735 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{3}$ | 0 | $-3 / 4$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 500 |
| $x_{4}$ | 0 | $2 / 3$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1067 |
| $x_{5}$ | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2700 |

Step 1. Identify the maximum gain by introducing variable $x_{2}$ into the solution. Variable $x_{2}$ has the largest negative coefficient in current table and thus becomes the pivot column.

Step 2. Find the basic variable among $x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}$ that is driven to zero first if $x_{2}$ is introduced into the solution. Take the ratio test.

| Basic <br> Variable | $\mathbf{Z}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | RHS | Ratio |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | -1600 | -1735 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $x_{3}$ | 0 | $-3 / 4$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 500 |
| $x_{4}$ | 0 | $2 / 3$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1067 | 1067 |
| $x_{5}$ | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2700 | 2700 |

The minimum ratio is 500 . Therefore, basic variable $x_{3}$ is first driven to zero as $x_{2}$ increases. select row containing basic variable $x_{3}$ as the pivot row to do row operations.

Step 3. Perform row operations to eliminate the coefficient (-1735) in the z-row. In this case I multiply row containing basic variable $x_{3}$ by 1735 and add to the $z$-row.

| Basic <br> Variable | $\mathbf{Z}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | RHS |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | -298.75 | 0 | 1735 | 0 | 0 | 867,500 |
| $x_{3}$ | 0 | $-3 / 4$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 500 |
| $x_{4}$ | 0 | $2 / 3$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1067 |
| $x_{5}$ | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2700 |

Step 4. Perform row operations in every constraint equation to eliminate the coefficients in pivot column (column containing $x_{2}$ ). Row containing the leaving basic variable $x_{3}$ stays the same.
4.1) To eliminate coefficient 1 at the intersection of pivot row containing $x_{4}$ and pivot column $x_{2}$ multiply the second row by -1 and add to row 3.

| Basic <br> Variable | $\mathbf{Z}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | RHS |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | -298.75 | 0 | 1735 | 0 | 0 | 867,500 |
| $x_{3}$ | 0 | $-3 / 4$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 500 |
| $x_{4}$ | 0 | 1.41267 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 567 |
| $x_{5}$ | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2700 |

4.2) To eliminate coefficient 1 at the intersection of pivot row containing $x_{5}$ and pivot column $x_{2}$ multiply the second row by -1 and add to row 4. This completes the second Table. Read off Table 2 the values of $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}$. Note that in the new table, $x_{2}$ has replaced $x_{3}$ in the solution.

Table 2. Current Solution is: $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}=[050005672200]$. Basic variables are $x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{5}$. Non-basic variables (i.e., those that are zero in the solution) are $x_{1}, x_{3}$.

| Basic <br> Variable | $\mathbf{Z}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | RHS |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | -298.75 | 0 | 1735 | 0 | 0 | 867,500 |
| $x_{2}$ | 0 | $-3 / 4$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 500 |
| $x_{4}$ | 0 | 1.41267 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 567 |
| $x_{5}$ | 0 | 3.667 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2200 |

This table is not optimal because the coefficient of variable $x_{1}$ is negative in the Z-row. This means, the objective function can be improved if variable $x_{1}$ is introduced to the solution. Select the column containing $x_{1}$ as the pivot column. Perform the previous steps and find the new table.

